

From: planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk
Sent: 01 February 2026 16:11
To: Catherine Cardin
Subject: Mid Sussex DC - Online Register - Comments for Planning Application DM/26/0134

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 01/02/2026 4:10 PM.

Application Summary

Address:	12 Barons Court Burgess Hill West Sussex RH15 0HX
Proposal:	Two storey side extension.
Case Officer:	Catherine Cardin

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Address:	C/O 11 Oakwood Road Burgess Hill
----------	----------------------------------

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour or general public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	
Comments:	Dear Sir/Madam, I am writing on behalf of my mother to formerly object to the above planning application in relation to the neighbouring property. I would like to make the council aware that my mother is 92 year old, is vulnerable and suffering from dementia. Her home and garden provide her with familiarity, comfort and a sense of security having lived in the property since it was built in the early 1960s. Any loss of privacy, disruption or damage would have a disproportionate impact on her wellbeing and ability to cope independently. My concerns are: 1. There appears to be inaccuracies in the plans submitted. The existing garage wall forms

the boundary line to my mother's property. The plans appear to indicate there is a separate fence - there is not. In addition, there is a retaining wall, which is owned by 11 Oakwood Road, on the boundary of the two properties and joins onto the garage. As such, with the proposed demolition of the garage, we are concerned of any potential impact of the existing and original retaining wall. Abutting the rear of the garage is a fence, again this forms the boundary and continues to 13 Oakwood Road. The fence at the rear of 11 Oakwood Road is owned by 11 Oakwood Road. Should the existing concrete drive be replaced as part of the proposed development, we also have concerns that this may undermine the existing and original retaining wall (I have emailed you separately some photos). All of this will be very distressing and difficult for my mother to cope with.

2. As you will note from the photos, the garden is well established and contains mature plants and trees which are important to my mother as she has cultivated her garden with her late husband since they purchased the property in 1962. The proposed development risks harming this mature garden, either through loss of light, disturbance during construction or long term impact on its character. Given this we would state that no permission is given or will be given for access to 12 Barons Court from this garden nor is any permission given for any scaffolding to be erected on the garden and or members of a scaffolding company to use the garden when erecting scaffolding.

3, Whilst there are currently two small windows looking onto my mother's garden, these are some distance away. I am concerned that there is a proposed large window looking directly into my mother's garden, kitchen and dining room which will have a significant loss of privacy and would have a significant negative impact on my mother's ability to enjoy her home and garden peacefully.

4. I am unable to identify what the proposed new boundary would be. I would assume that as there is a brick wall (the garage) at the moment it would be a like for like replacement. Can you clarify?

5. The proposed two storey extension would have an overbearing and dominant impact on my mother's garden and property. Due to its height, bulk and close proximity to the boundary, the building would feel oppressive when viewed from my mother's property giving a sense of enclosure.

6. The proposed development will have a detrimental effect on the street scene.

For the above reasons, I respectfully ask the Council to refuse this application.

[REDACTED]

Kind regards