

Steven King

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 07 May 2026 14:08
To: planninginfo; Steven King
Subject: Objection to Planning Application DM 25 3129 - Land at Borde Hill Lane Haywards Heath

Hello,

I received your letter dated 17th April advising that a revised planning application has been submitted in respect of application DM 25/3129. I have read and seen the minor changes but these do not change my original objections, a copy of which has been forwarded below.

I continue to strongly object to this application based on the following:

1. Traffic and Highway Safety

The proposed development would significantly increase traffic on Balcombe Road and surrounding local roads, which are already under pressure. The proposed access arrangements and roundabout modifications raise concerns regarding congestion, road safety, and suitability for increased vehicle movements.

2. Impact on Local Infrastructure

The scale of the development (over 100 dwellings) would place additional strain on local infrastructure, including GP services, schools, drainage systems, and public transport. There is insufficient evidence that existing infrastructure can accommodate this level of growth.

3. Harm to Landscape and Setting

The site lies on the edge of Haywards Heath and contributes to the rural character and setting of the area. The development would result in urbanisation of open land, adversely affecting landscape character and views.

4. Environmental and Ecological Impact

There are concerns regarding loss of biodiversity, impact on wildlife habitats, and insufficient mitigation measures. Development of this scale risks long-term environmental harm.

5. Overdevelopment and Density

The proposal represents an overdevelopment of the site, with a density that is not in keeping with the surrounding area. This would negatively affect the character and appearance of the locality.

6. Drainage and Flood Risk

There are concerns about surface water drainage and flood risk, particularly given existing local issues. The application does not adequately demonstrate how these risks will be mitigated.

Conclusion

For the reasons outlined above, I respectfully request that Mid Sussex District Council refuse planning permission for application DM/25/3129.

Yours faithfully,

Caroline Mackay
32 Wykeham Way

From: Caroline Mackay <caroline-kerr@hotmail.co.uk>
Date: 22 March 2026 at 22:40:10 GMT
To: planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk
Subject: Objection to Planning Application DM 25 3129 - Land at Borde Hill Lane Haywards Heath

Good evening,

I would like to submit a formal objection to the planning application DM25/3129. My name is Caroline mackay and I am directly affected by this development as I use Borde Hill lane daily when getting to my sister's house in penland Road and my parents in Fairfield way, where I grew up.

My reasons for objection are outlined below:

Financial Impact

The proposed increase in the number of houses on this site, which is double the number suggested by the Council, raises significant concerns. Most properties will be priced in line with local market rates, which are high compared to surrounding areas. Consequently, even "affordable housing" may remain out of reach for many local young people, limiting their opportunities to enter the housing market. Additionally, the proposal does not address the creation of long-term jobs for the area; it references only temporary local employment during the construction phase. Given previous trends, it is likely that contract workers from outside the region will be brought in, further increasing congestion without benefiting the local workforce.

Flooding and Water Supply

The risk of flooding at the bottom of the site, adjacent to one of the busiest railway lines in the country, is a concern, especially considering past instances where embankments have required reinforcement and tree removal may have affected stability. Previous attempts to manage flood risk, such as the installation of water retention tanks at Penland Farm, did not prevent flooding on Penland Road and issues with Scrase Stream in Barnmead, despite having more woodland to absorb rainwater than is available at the current site. Remedial work undertaken at Penland Farm to address these failures was costly. Furthermore, Crest Nicholson's proposal to build 50 new homes near to the Borde Hill site, with potential for expansion, would feed into West Common Stream, increasing flood risk downstream in Lindfield. Added to the risk of winter

flooding and potential summer water shortages due to global warming is lack of robust plans from the Water Companies to guarantee supply.

Highway Impact & Safety

Recent housing developments in the town together with the opening up of access to the M23 have greatly increased the volume and speed of traffic on Balcombe Road which like Borde Hill Lane and Hanlye Lane have not seen significant improvements to deal with this increased volume and speed of traffic. They are still basically country lanes which is one of the reasons why the number of accidents is increasing. To access this site on foot via Balcombe Road would require individuals to cross the road three times which because of volumes and speed of traffic are bends in the road is becoming increasingly hazardous. This development would just increase the volume of traffic congestion and risk on the roads.

Local Infrastructure

The suggestion that this development will create new public spaces for all to enjoy is unlikely to happen. Given where this development is planned no one is going to walk or drive all the way up to this site to “enjoy green spaces” created by concreting over a natural habit. Just look at the barely used “green spaces” at Penland Farm. Almost everyone drives, which cuts across another of the council’s objectives to reduce the volume of traffic. People feel they have to drive as it is too far to walk and the public transport is infrequent and therefore poorly used.

The local junior School (Harlands) is already full with some local residents (Penland Road) already having to drive to other schools (e.g. Cuckfield, Northlands Wood, Balcombe etc) and this development will only exacerbate this problem with local & non-local residents driving in opposite directions to drop their children off at School.

Other facilities in Haywards Heath (doctors, dentists, parking & policing) have not kept pace with the population growth and further housing development will only create further strain on these important but struggling services.

The train services are already overcrowded, expensive and at times unreliable and adding further strain on these will not enhance the reputation of Haywards Heath as somewhere to settle down and bring up a family or grow a business.

Environmental concerns

This much bigger development will have a proportionally bigger and Long-Term impact on the Environment with the removal of habit which is used by deer with Red Kites and Sparrow hawks in the skies above. Surveys have found protected species living on this site (Dormice and bats) and destroying the natural habit and trees with all sorts of diggers & loaders to build roads, houses, banks and tanks to control flood water will destroy these species. You cannot mitigate this loss after the event. These species will not return to a housing estate.

Just looking at this development rather than taking a holistic view of the cumulative impact of all the developments that have taken place

recently, and are planned, on the Environment and all aspects of life in the town is a mistake and will create potentially irreversible problems for future generations.

The size of this increased development is totally inappropriate for this site and this area. This site has also been added to the draft district plan despite previous recommendations that it should be excluded. I see little in this plan that will be of benefit to the community. It should be rejected. Thank you for considering these concerns regarding Planning Application DM 25 3129 – Land at Borde Hill Lane, Haywards Heath.

Kind regards,

[REDACTED]

32 Wykeham way, Burgess Hill, RH15 0HF

The information contained in this email may be subject to public disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act 2000. Unless the information contained in this email is legally exempt from disclosure, we cannot guarantee that we will not provide the whole or part of this email to a third party making a request for information about the subject matter of this email. This email and any attachments may contain confidential information and is intended only to be seen and used by the named addressees. If you are not the named addressee, any use, disclosure, copying, alteration or forwarding of this email and its attachments is unauthorised. If you have received this email in error please notify the sender immediately by email or by calling +44 (0) 1444 458 166 and remove this email and its attachments from your system. The views expressed within this email and any attachments are not necessarily the views or policies of Mid Sussex District Council. We have taken precautions to minimise the risk of transmitting software viruses, but we advise you to carry out your own virus checks before accessing this email and any attachments. Except where required by law, we shall not be responsible for any damage, loss or liability of any kind suffered in connection with this email and any attachments, or which may result from reliance on the contents of this email and any attachments.