

From: planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk <planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk>
Sent: 03 June 2026 15:26:24 UTC+01:00
To: "Jessica Szollosi" <jessica.szollosi@midsussex.gov.uk>
Subject: Mid Sussex DC - Online Register - Comments for Planning Application
DM/26/1235

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 03/06/2026 3:26 PM.

Application Summary

Address:	Franklands Village Store Franklands Village Haywards Heath West Sussex RH16 3RA
Proposal:	Retrospective application for siting an InPost Parcel Locker.
Case Officer:	Jessica Szollosi

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Address:	15 Franklands Village Haywards Heath
----------	--------------------------------------

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour or general public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	
Comments:	Franklands Village is a 1930s conservation area that has preserved its original quiet, semi-rural character for nearly a century. The installation of the InPost lockers, with their stark white branding and modern commercial appearance, does not preserve or enhance the character of the area. Their scale and design are visually dominant and result in an intrusive feature on the frontage of the shop. In addition, the units obstruct one of the shop entrances, which significantly detracts from the overall street

scene.

The shop building is a distinctive, individual design within the village and contributes positively to its character. This unique architectural feature should be protected and allowed to remain visually unobstructed.

Furthermore, the 24-hour operation of the lockers is likely to increase noise levels within the immediate area. This includes additional vehicle movements associated with parcel deliveries, adding to existing traffic from articulated lorries and further contributing to disturbance.

There are also legitimate concerns regarding pedestrian safety. Given the limited footpaths in the area, any increase in footfall and delivery activity could exacerbate existing constraints and create additional risk for pedestrians.

For these reasons, the proposal is considered contrary to the aim of preserving the character, appearance, and amenity of the conservation area.

Kind regards