

Steven King

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 05 February 2025 13:18
To: Steven King
Subject: Re: DM/24/2904 | Discharge of planning condition no 9 relating to planning application DM/22/0921.
Attachments: TOC-395 - A - Tower Close - Boundaries.pdf

Dear Steven,

Further to my earlier email, please now see attached the revised drawing TOC-395-A. The extent of the railing fence has been corrected and the dwarf wall and ramp have also been added. I trust that this is now acceptable as it represents exactly what is on site.

I am still waiting for a formal reply from Katasonika and will forward that as soon as I receive it.

Thanks and Regards

Jim Godwin

Sent: Wednesday, February 5, 2025 9:53 AM
To: Steven King <Steven.King@midsussex.gov.uk>
Subject: Re: DM/24/2904 | Discharge of planning condition no 9 relating to planning application DM/22/0921.

Dear Steven,

Thanks for your email and no problem about the response time. We are expecting to have completions on the first sales by the end of March so there is adequate time to get this matter resolved before then. A few people are trying to get their purchases completed before the change in the stamp duty takes effect.

I have asked for the submitted drawing to be amended to more accurately reflect the as-built metal fencing, and I will send this to you by email as soon as I receive it. The location and extent of the fencing has been increased from what I was expecting to see. I have queried this with the developer and there has been a misunderstanding with the contractor who fabricated and installed the fence and gate. On the positive side, I think you might agree that the metal work is of a very good standard and has the added benefit of improving the security of the front door access to the property.

Regarding the extent of the brick work shown on PL02 F, I have spoken to the architect, and it was their belief that there was an existing brick wall which was to be retained to the full extent of the perimeter of the garden. In reality, this boundary was formed by a derelict building, the brickwork of which was in such poor condition that it could not be retained. The brickwork wall which is sound and has been retained only extends as far as is shown in the photographs on the submitted drawing and the rest of the perimeter to the garden has been formed in new fencing as shown. The brick wall does extend all the way to the front of the site from that point and has been retained as it was sound.

Regarding the acoustic impact of the fence enclosing the garden to serving Flat 1, I have sent this query to Katasonika, the acoustic consultants who conducted the survey and asked them to address this concern. I hope to have a fast response from them and will forward this to you as soon as I receive it.

Hopefully the amended drawing and a positive comment from the acoustician will be forthcoming in the next day or so and these will allow the condition to be discharged.

Thanks and regards

Jim

From: Steven King <Steven.King@midsussex.gov.uk>

Sent: Tuesday, February 4, 2025 6:01 PM

Subject: RE: DM/24/2904 | Discharge of planning condition no 9 relating to planning application DM/22/0921.

Dear Jim

Apologies for the delay in responding.

I visited the site today and I have a few queries based on what I saw on site that I hope you can help with.

Firstly, plan number TOC-395 that has been submitted to discharge this condition does not show the metal fencing that is on the road frontage of Tower Close, as shown on the attached photo. I think drawing TOC-395 needs to be updated to show all of the fencing that is proposed.

Secondly, looking back, drawing number TC.01 PL02 F on the approved planning application DM/22/0921 is annotated to refer to a 1.8m brickwork wall to the boundary of the houses on Moat Road. It appears from the annotation that the intention was for the brick wall to enclose the garden area that is adjacent to the public car park. Can you confirm this point please. Thirdly, this leads on to my next query. The acoustic report that was submitted to discharge condition 8 of planning permission DM/22/0921 refers to the acoustic design statement being based on the drawings prepared by OUT THE BOX UD LTD, which includes Proposed Site Layout and Site Section TC.01 PLO2 F.

What has been constructed on site is a timber fence adjacent to the car park. Are you able to confirm that the contents of the acoustic design statement are still applicable with this timber fencing in place?

I look forward to hearing from you.

Regards

Steven King, BSc (Hons) Dip TP, MRTPI
Team Leader, Major Development
Development Management
01444 477556
www.midsussex.gov.uk

Working together for a better Mid Sussex

Subject: DM/24/2904 | Discharge of planning condition no 9 relating to planning application DM/22/0921.

Dear Steven,

I hope you have had a good weekend and have managed to weather the recent storms without incident?

Regarding the above condition discharge, are you please able to advise when the decision is due to be made? The decision deadline was 22nd January 2025 so I set a reminder for today to check the online register. This tells me that the decision is still pending. Any update you are able to provide would be greatly appreciated.

Thanks and Regards

Jim Godwin

The information contained in this email may be subject to public disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act 2000. Unless the information contained in this email is legally exempt from disclosure, we cannot guarantee that we will not provide the whole or part of this email to a third party making a request for information about the subject matter of this email. This email and any attachments may contain confidential information and is intended only to be seen and used by the named addressees. If you are not the named addressee, any use, disclosure, copying, alteration or forwarding of this email and its attachments is unauthorised. If you have received this email in error please notify the sender immediately by email or by calling +44 (0) 1444 458 166 and remove this email and its attachments from your system. The views expressed within this email and any attachments are not necessarily the views or policies of Mid Sussex District Council. We have taken precautions to minimise the risk of transmitting software viruses, but we advise you to carry out your own virus checks before accessing this email and any attachments. Except where required by law, we shall not be responsible for any damage, loss or liability of any kind suffered in connection with this email and any attachments, or which may result from reliance on the contents of this email and any attachments.