

From: Alison Essex <ae@gouldbaxter.co.uk>
Sent: 17 September 2025 15:21:38 UTC+01:00
To: "Rachel Richardson" <Rachel.Richardson@midsussex.gov.uk>
Cc: "Matthew Comber" <mc@gouldbaxter.co.uk>
Subject: DM/25/1907 and 1908 Old Keysford Hall
Attachments: GH850 PL Drawings 17.09.2025-PL.12.A Internal Elevations As Proposed.pdf

Hi Rachel,

DM/25/1907 and 1908 Old Keysford Hall

Thank you for your email.

If we have understood the query in question, (*highlighted below in italics*) the proposed internal elevations demonstrate that the overlying beam will not be touched during the proposed works of the new partition. I include a some further text and a red dashed lines to highlight this case.

- *Although the drawings and DAS are clear that the 'front', hall-facing element of the new partitioning will be set behind the existing post to the base of the stairs, so that this fabric is not impacted, the relationship of the new partition to the side of the extended kitchen adjacent to the stair case with the overlying beam which carries evidence of the former partition in this position is less clear. I would suggest that a further detailed drawing is provided to demonstrate that the new partition will not harm or obscure surviving evidence of the original, removed partition in this position (see plates on p.11 of the heritage statement).*

If you still require further detail please let me know.

Best Regards,

Alison



Alison Essex ARB
Architect
01444 452604
www.gouldbaxter.co.uk

Please note that my usual working days are Monday – Thursday.

Gould Baxter is the trading name of Gould Property Consultants LLP. Registered in England and Wales with Partnership No. OC318407
Registered office: 2 Lucastes Mews, Haywards Heath RH16 1HE



From: Rachel Richardson <Rachel.Richardson@midsussex.gov.uk>
Sent: 17 September 2025 11:13
To: Alison Essex <ae@gouldbaxter.co.uk>
Subject: FW: DM/25/1907 and 1908 Old Keysford Hall

Hi Alison,

I'm just reviewing my emails, and I can't see that I sent you the comments below from Emily Wade, the Councils Conservation Officer. I apologise for the delay here.

Emily has asked that you provide detail regarding the position of the new partition adjacent to the staircase. Once received and subject to this being acceptable, I can write up both reports and get the decisions issued.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Kind regards,

Rachel.

Rachel Richardson Bsc (Hons) DIP TP MRTPI
Senior Planning Officer
Development Management
www.midsussex.gov.uk
01444 477 224

Please note I work part-time (usually over Monday to Thursday) and from home Monday to Wednesday.

From: Emily Wade <Emily.Wade@midsussex.gov.uk>
Sent: 03 September 2025 10:37
To: Rachel Richardson <Rachel.Richardson@midsussex.gov.uk>
Subject: DM/25/1907 and 1908 Old Keysford Hall

Hi Rachel

Comments on the above planning and listed building consent applications.

Old Keysford Hall is one part of a Grade II listed building located in a rural position outside Horsted Keynes. The building has been subdivided, so that the rear portion is now a separate property, Keysford. The building was formerly a farmhouse, and former farm buildings including a substantial barn survive in a courtyard arrangement to the north-west of the house. These would be regarded as curtilage listed.

The applications are accompanied by a summary heritage statement, which confirms the likely date of construction of Old Keysford Hall as c.1701, but suggests it is possible that this formed a later high-end wing to a possible earlier house (now the west wing), which may have then taken on a service range status. This is speculative, however, as the west wing (now Keysford) was not assessed.

Within the summary heritage statement the existing partition between the kitchen and hallway is dated on plan (Plate 5) as a 20th century insertion. This work may have been carried out when the rear wall of the building was partially removed and a lean-to outshot added to the back of the hall to create the current kitchen space. This statement also notes that the existing staircase, which sits at the south-east corner of the central hall, was previously enclosed to its northern side by a partition, which has been removed.

The summary heritage statement suggests that the significance of Old Keysford Hall lies partly in the high degree of survival of the original floor plan, and the minimal later changes to the building, which retain visible evidence of original features and fabric where these have been altered or removed. I see no reason to disagree with this assessment.

The current proposal is for works at ground floor to the building comprising:

- Removal of the existing partition between the original hallway and the kitchen;
- Construction of a new partition further into the hall, enlarging the kitchen;
- Construction of a partition partly enclosing the northern side of the staircase adjacent to this; and
- Addition of a plateau rooflight to the flat roof of the existing rear lean-to.

To consider these works in turn:

- The existing partition in this position is a 20th century addition and is not of special interest. Its removal is therefore not considered contentious.
- The proposed new partition, because of its revised position, will encroach further into the original proportions of the hall. However, the harm which will result from this will be balanced against the lighter-weight construction of the partition (c.f. the existing solid partition), as it will include double glazed doors and high-level windows, which will potentially allow a greater appreciation than the existing situation of the original proportions of the room. The internal elevations provided show that these windows will not be obscured by high level units to either the hall or kitchen side. On balance this aspect of the proposal is considered acceptable.
- The new partition adjacent to the stairway will be a continuation of that discussed above, enclosing this side of the extended kitchen. Given the previous existence of a partition in this position, this aspect of the proposal is not considered contentious in principle.
- Although the drawings and DAS are clear that the 'front', hall-facing element of the new partitioning will be set behind the existing post to the base of the stairs, so that this fabric is not impacted, the relationship of the new partition to the side

of the extended kitchen adjacent to the stair case with the overlying beam which carries evidence of the former partition in this position is less clear. I would suggest that a further detailed drawing is provided to demonstrate that the new partition will not harm or obscure surviving evidence of the original, removed partition in this position (see plates on p.11 of the heritage statement).

- The proposed plateau rooflight affects only 20th century fabric and should have only a minimal impact on the appearance of the building. Subject to detail, this aspect of the proposal is not considered contentious.

Subject to the above detail regarding the position of the new partition adjacent to the staircase, the proposal is considered to preserve the special interest of the listed building, meeting the requirements of District Plan Policy DP34 and the relevant paragraphs of the NPPF.

Thanks,

Emily

Please note that this advice is given at Officer level only and is without prejudice to the formal decision of the District Council.

Submit your planning application online.
<http://www.planningportal.gov.uk>

Emily Wade Ma MSc
Conservation Officer
Planning Services
Tel: +44 (0)1444 477385
emily.wade@midsussex.gov.uk <http://www.midsussex.gov.uk>



The information contained in this email may be subject to public disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act 2000. Unless the information contained in this email is legally exempt from disclosure, we cannot guarantee that we will not provide the whole or part of this email to a third party making a request for information about the subject matter of this email. This email and any attachments may contain confidential information and is intended only to be seen and used by the named addressees. If you

are not the named addressee, any use, disclosure, copying, alteration or forwarding of this email and its attachments is unauthorised. If you have received this email in error please notify the sender immediately by email or by calling +44 (0) 1444 458 166 and remove this email and its attachments from your system. The views expressed within this email and any attachments are not necessarily the views or policies of Mid Sussex District Council. We have taken precautions to minimise the risk of transmitting software viruses, but we advise you to carry out your own virus checks before accessing this email and any attachments. Except where required by law, we shall not be responsible for any damage, loss or liability of any kind suffered in connection with this email and any attachments, or which may result from reliance on the contents of this email and any attachments.