

From: planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk <planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk>
Sent: 14 October 2024 12:52:36 UTC+01:00
To: "Katherine Williams" <katherine.williams@midsussex.gov.uk>
Subject: Mid Sussex DC - Online Register - Comments for Planning Application
DM/24/2317

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 14/10/2024 12:52 PM.

Application Summary

Address:	Congregational Church Street Lane Ardingly West Sussex
Proposal:	Change of use and conversion of former Congregational Church building to provide a single dwellinghouse with associated secure cycle storage provision, vehicle parking, bin storage and private amenity space.
Case Officer:	Katherine Williams

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Address:	Brambledore Street Lane Ardingly
----------	----------------------------------

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour or general public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	
Comments:	Objection based on the lack of adequate parking provision: As per WSCC Guidance on Parking, for PBZ1, the size of the proposed development should provide 2.2 spaces not 1 space. The issues with lack of parking, congestion and safety, on Street Lane are documented, and acknowledged, by both MSDC and the Parish Council (Ardingly Neighbourhood Plan Policy 19).

The proposed parking layout does not allow sufficient space to make it useable without causing safety issues. The section of the private road to the west that is being proposed to use as access is narrow, providing insufficient space to turn. As an example, due to the narrow nature of the private road, and volume of parking on Street Lane, to access MSDC utilise a "narrow route truck" to enable refuse collection and they access by reversing down from Street Lane.

The supporting documents, supplied in the application, use an arrow relating to the roadway access. As the owner of the bottom section of the road I feel this could be misleading. It would imply there is onward access towards where the roadway widens, where the garages are, this is incorrect, any rights terminate prior to this.

Kind regards