

Delegated Decision

Sign off Sheet

Ref. No:	DM/26/0906	Case Officer:	Emma Rivett
Application Type:	Trees in a Conservation Area		
Proposal:	T1: Prunus cerasifera ' nigra' - monolith to 3m. T2: Thiya plicata 'zebrina' - Crown reduce by 3m. T3: Douglas Fir - Crown reduce by 2-3m. T4: Yew - Crown raise by 3m. Crown reduce 2m. T5: Thiya plicata 'zebrina' - crown raise to 3m. T6: Chameocyparis lawsoniana lanei (Golden Lawsons cypress) - Crown raise to 3m. T7: Lawson's cypress - crown raise to 3m. T8: Willow - Fell. G9: Willow - Fell. T10: Ash - Fell. T11: Sycamore - crown raise to 2.5m, crown reduce by 2m over neighbours garden. T12: Lawsons Cypress - crown raise by 3m. T13: Lime - Re pollard.		
Site:	11 Lewes Road, Haywards Heath, West Sussex, RH17 7SP, , , ,		
Validation Date	9 Apr 2026	Overall Expiry Date:	1 May 2026
Pre-Commencement Conditions Required:		Pre-Com Conditions Date Agreed:	
Recommendation:	No Objection	Recommendation Date:	17 Apr 2026
Target Date:	21 May 2026	Recommending Officer Signature:	Emma Rivett

Date Legal Agreement Completed: (if applicable)		No of Representations:	0
--	--	-------------------------------	---

Signed and Agreed By:	Beth Howland-Smith	Date:	14 May 2026
Comments:			

MID SUSSEX DISTRICT COUNCIL

DM/26/0906

11 Lewes Road, Haywards Heath, West Sussex, RH17 7SP, , ,
T1: Prunus cerasifera 'nigra' - monolith to 3m. T2: Thiya plicata 'zebrina' - Crown reduce by 3m.
T3: Douglas Fir - Crown reduce by 2-3m. T4: Yew - Crown raise by 3m. Crown reduce 2m. T5:
Thiya plicata 'zebrina' - crown raise to 3m. T6: Chameocyparis lawsoniana lanei (Golden
Lawsons cypress) - Crown raise to 3m. T7: Lawson's cypress - crown raise to 3m. T8: Willow -
Fell. G9: Willow - Fell. T10: Ash - Fell. T11: Sycamore - crown raise to 2.5m, crown reduce by 2m
over neighbours garden. T12: Lawsons Cypress - crown raise by 3m. T13: Lime - Re pollard.
Mr Roberto Santini

The proposed work is as described. The description was written and agreed following a pre application meeting.

T1 is a Prunus cerasifera 'nigra' and is in poor condition. To monolith this tree will reduce the risk of failure whilst retaining the ecological value.

T2 to T5 are all growing towards the house. The proposed reduction will remove them from the building and retain this clearance for a few years.

T6 and T7 have very low branches which are creating light issues for the nearby borders. To crown raise these will let more light through to the borders and other plants.

T8 is a willow in decline. Felling this tree would be best for safety reasons.

G9 is a group of willow. They have grown among other trees and to fell these would benefit the other trees.

T10 is an ash. It has extensive Ash Dieback and as it is so close to the adjacent property, for safety reasons, felling is the best option to reduce safety concerns.

T11 is a sycamore which has grown close to the boundary between the applicant and the neighbour. To crown raise this tree would allow more light through for other plants but also raise it from the fenceline. The proposed reduction would reduce some of the branches overhanging the adjacent property. It would not be detrimental to the health of the tree.

T12 is a Lawson's cypress which is growing very low to the ground. There is a significant amount of deadwood in the lower crown. To raise this tree would allow the applicant safe passage under the tree.

T13 is in the front garden and is a lapsed pollard. This tree is completely decayed in the centre of the tree and the regrowth from the lapsed pollard will be putting a lot of pressure on the decayed stem and may lead it to fail. To repollard this tree would help to bring it back into a cyclical pollarding regime, which would help retain the tree and reduce the potential for the limbs to fail and collapse the already compromised stem. This would be good management for this tree in its current condition.

All the proposed work is good maintenance for these trees. None of the work will be of detriment to the trees and will be of benefit other trees.

No objection is recommended.

Decision: No Objection

Case Officer: Emma Rivett