

I have lived at the number 21 victoria close since 2011 and fully accept I live adjacent to an industrial estate. However, the siting of the shipping containers is considered by myself and my neighbours totally unacceptable due to their detrimental impact on our residential properties. The containers are positioned in extremely close proximity to the rear boundary of neighbouring homes, including my neighbours garden room at number 23 which is used as a beauty salon, and they are extremely visually intrusive. This results in a significant adverse effect on outlook and the enjoyment of our homes and gardens. It is also noted that one large blue container placed directly behind my rear garden appears to be located on a designated fire escape route serving the warehouse, which raises additional safety concerns. As a resident I would not be allowed to place a shopping container in my garden without seeking planning permission and the fact this container was delivered and placed with such disregard for surrounding residents is utterly appalling (and without correct planning permission which I may add has only been sought retrospectively because I myself highlighted this to the council).

This objection is made with particular reference to Policy DP26 of the Mid Sussex District Plan, which requires that all development must be well-designed and must not cause significant harm to the amenities of existing nearby residents and future occupants, including impacts on outlook, noise and disturbance.

The proposal also conflicts with the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), in particular:

- Paragraph 130, which states that planning decisions should ensure developments create places with a high standard of amenity for existing and future users and avoid unacceptable adverse impacts on the quality of life of neighbouring occupiers; and
- Paragraph 134, which emphasises development that is inappropriate in terms of scale, design or location should be refused permission.

The impact this , (I may add bright blue) shipping container in particular has caused my garden is significant, both their scale and design are inappropriate . I will attach photos . It is totally inappropriate to place the containers alongside residential areas when there are other areas of their property where these could have been placed .

Informal advice obtained from a qualified building surveyor indicates that the positioning and visual impact of the shipping containers are likely to have a detrimental effect on the value and quality of neighbouring residential properties. It was further advised that as a minimum, a suitably high boundary fence is required to screen the containers from view by neighbouring homes. It is also noted that, according to the property deeds, responsibility for maintaining the rear boundary fence adjoining the warehouse rests with the warehouse owner.

I also have concerns about the comings and goings of members of staff to and from these containers at inappropriate times during the day. The operating hours can be sometimes anti social with emptying of large recycling bins containing huge volumes of what sounds like glass around 7 in the morning directly behind my garden. When I challenged the occupiers on their operating hours they do not correspond with what's advertised on Google (which states they operate 24 hours a day) and I have photographic evidence of activity before 8am including on weekends. This is extremely

intrusive to be conducting these activities alongside the boundary of residential properties.

I have also observed that the shipping containers are accessed frequently throughout the day, with goods being loaded and unloaded by forklift. This increased activity, noise and disturbance close to the residential boundary further adversely affects neighbouring occupiers and conflicts with the requirement under Policy DP26 and NPPF Paragraph 130(f) that development should not cause harm to residential amenity through noise, disturbance or loss of tranquillity.

My further concern is in regards to a post dated 30 October on the EBISS Facebook page (which I have attached) which advertises the provision of secure off-site storage and logistics services, including goods classified as 1.4 Dangerous Goods, such as ammunition, fireworks and flares. However, the supporting documents submitted with this retrospective planning application state that the three shipping containers are used solely for storage of packaging materials to prevent vermin damage within the warehouse. Given the size of the warehouse, the timing and content of the Facebook post, and the proximity of the containers to residential properties, this discrepancy gives rise to significant concern regarding the accuracy of the application and potential harmful uses.

For the reasons set out above, the proposal is considered contrary to the development plan and national planning policy, and planning permission should be refused

I attach photos of the visual impact from my house at number 21, the time stamp of a photo and video of their activity before their allowed operating hours and the Facebook post

21 Victoria close

Burgess hill

RH159QS









Burgess Hill
12 October 06:18

Edit



TESCO

17:25

16%



Home
12 October 06:17

Edit



LIVE





Posts

About

Photos

Reels

More ▼

**EBISS UK LTD**7h · 

Need to move Dangerous Goods of any category, anywhere in the world? Our team of logistics & freight experts can help! For example, goods designated as 1.4 Dangerous Goods: which includes ammunition, fireworks, and flares. At EBISS we can provide safe, secure offsite storage, packing and worldwide shipping services.

Our team is BADGP trained to handle all Dangerous Goods categories (except Radioactive materials).

Safe. Secure. Sorted.

Need a quote? Get in touch: freight@ebiss.co.uk

[#DangerousGoods](#) [#marineflares](#) [#fireworks](#) [#BADGP](#)



