

From: planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk <planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk>
Sent: 11 March 2026 12:15:33 UTC+00:00
To: "Steven King" <steven.king@midsussex.gov.uk>
Subject: Mid Sussex DC - Online Register - Comments for Planning Application
DM/26/0454

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 11/03/2026 12:15 PM.

Application Summary

Address:	Land Parcel At Bolney Road Ansty West Sussex
Proposal:	Proposed erection of 34 new homes with access from Marwick Close, vehicle and cycle parking, landscaping, drainage, and associated infrastructure and highway works.
Case Officer:	Steven King

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Address:	Littlefield House Bolney Road Ansty
----------	-------------------------------------

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour or general public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments: This proposal represents a significant and unsustainable expansion of housing within a very small rural village that already experiences considerable pressure on its infrastructure and services.

Firstly, traffic congestion and road safety are already major concerns within the village. The existing road network has inadequate capacity to accommodate further traffic. Residents already experience congestion during peak hours, and additional

housing will inevitably increase vehicle movements. This will worsen congestion, create further safety risks for pedestrians and cyclists, and place additional strain on roads that were not designed for higher traffic volumes.

Secondly, the village currently suffers from a lack of accessible public services and facilities. There is limited reliable public transport, and essential services such as healthcare, schools, and shops are located outside the village and already struggling for capacity. As a result, residents are largely dependent on private vehicles. Adding more housing in a location with such limited infrastructure is contrary to principles of sustainable development, as it will increase car dependency and associated environmental impacts.

Thirdly, local infrastructure and community services are already stretched. The village lacks the capacity to support further population growth without significant investment in services such as healthcare, education, drainage, and transport. No clear evidence has been presented to demonstrate that these pressures can be adequately mitigated - especially considering this was supposed to happen with the previous two developments in the area (Deak's Paddock and the development that this proposal will be attached to).

Finally, the scale of the proposed development risks undermining the rural character and scale of the village. Large housing developments in small settlements can fundamentally alter their character and place an urban level of pressure on what is intended to remain a rural community.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that the planning authority refuse this application, as it represents unsustainable development that would negatively impact traffic conditions, infrastructure capacity, and the character of the village.

Kind regards