

From: planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk <planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk>
Sent: 06 December 2025 12:22:28 UTC+00:00
To: "Stefan Galyas" <stefan.galyas@midsussex.gov.uk>
Subject: Mid Sussex DC - Online Register - Comments for Planning Application
DM/25/2884

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 06/12/2025 12:22 PM.

Application Summary

Address:	Land Adj. To 48 Wickham Way Haywards Heath West Sussex RH16 1UQ
Proposal:	Erection of a dwelling house on land adj to 48 Wickham including solar panels to the roof
Case Officer:	Stefan Galyas

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Address: 46 Wickham Way Haywards Heath

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour or general public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	
Comments:	Comments as follows on some of the detail in the application: 1 - the application is called 'land adjacent to no 48'. The land is the garden of no 48, so the land is in fact adjacent to no 52. Although the detail in the application is correct, the title of the application could be misleading as to where the house is proposed to be built, as this implies that there is spare land adjacent to no 48 (which there is not).

2 - regarding the driveway to the new house: the Plan shows, and relies on, the retention of existing alterations that have been made to land that is not owned by the applicant. Specifically, the applicant has recently, without consent, agreement or permission, altered land owned by another party; this being construction of a driveway on land not owned by the applicant. (in effect the new driveway for the new house shown on the Plan has already been constructed). This unauthorised alteration to land not owned by the applicant remaining in place is needed to support the planned development.

3 - the justification says 'Adequate side spacing is maintained, respecting the rhythm and verdant character of Wickham Way' - This is of course opinion, but not an accurate one. It will be obvious that a house has been squeezed into the line of houses. The spacing and presentation will be significantly different from the rest of the road. It will look condensed and odd compared to the rest of the road, and to similar nearby residential private roads of a similar age and character.

4 - the justification says 'removal of mature fir trees', this does not convey the size and significance of these trees. They are approximately 10-13m tall and are a significant feature on Wickham Way that have been here for longer than any of the residents. These trees are so large that they can be seen from outside Clare Hall, some 1.5 km away.

5 - the overhead utility cables that the trees are said to be in the way of can be buried; avoiding the need to kill the trees.

6 - parking: the justification does not say that parking will be adequate, just that it will be 'upgraded'. The Plan shows that this is not the case. Parking provision will be reduced from that which exists today. Parking will not be adequate when the provision required for another 4 bedroom house, on the same footprint of the existing parking (generally fully utilised), is considered. Due to the proposed demolition of no 48's garage the Plan offers less parking provision for two houses than exists today for one house.

7 - I note from the Self Build and Custom statement that the applicant intends to move into the new house as their primary residence.

Kind regards