

From: Alison Essex <ae@gouldbaxter.co.uk>
Sent: 26 November 2025 10:52:25 UTC+00:00
To: "Emily Wade" <Emily.Wade@midsussex.gov.uk>
Cc: "Matthew Comber" <mc@gouldbaxter.co.uk>
Subject: GH1033 The Pavilion, Francis Road, Lindfield DM/25/2521

Hi Emily,

GH1033 The Pavilion, Francis Road, Lindfield DM/25/2521

Yes an extended period sounds good, given others will also have similar deadlines and annual leave, thank you.

Best Regards,

Alison



Alison Essex ARB
Architect
01444 452604
www.gouldbaxter.co.uk

Please note that my usual working days are Monday – Thursday.

Gould Baxter is the trading name of Gould Property Consultants LLP. Registered in England and Wales with Partnership No. OC318407
Registered office: 2 Lucastes Mews, Haywards Heath RH16 1HE



From: Emily Wade <Emily.Wade@midsussex.gov.uk>
Sent: 26 November 2025 10:43
To: Alison Essex <ae@gouldbaxter.co.uk>
Subject: RE: GH1033 The Pavilion, Francis Road, Lindfield DM/25/2521

Hi Alison

Yes, that's no problem, however with the upcoming Christmas holidays (I am on leave for two weeks over this period), can I suggest a slightly longer extension than would be normal, until 16th January? If you are able to provide the further information before this (and allowing for the Christmas break) I will of course try to respond to this and to determine the application sooner.

If you could please reply to confirm that this extension is acceptable, I will set it up.

Kind regards,

Emily Wade

Please note that this advice is given at Officer level only and is without prejudice to the formal decision of the District Council.

Submit your planning application online.
<http://www.planningportal.gov.uk>

Emily Wade Ma MSc
Conservation Officer
Planning Services
Tel: +44 (0)1444 477385
emily.wade@midsussex.gov.uk <http://www.midsussex.gov.uk>



From: Alison Essex <ae@gouldbaxter.co.uk>
Sent: 26 November 2025 10:39
To: Emily Wade <Emily.Wade@midsussex.gov.uk>
Cc: Matthew Comber <mc@gouldbaxter.co.uk>
Subject: GH1033 The Pavilion, Francis Road, Lindfield DM/25/2521

Hi Emily,

GH1033 The Pavilion, Francis Road, Lindfield DM/25/2521

We have reached out to some consultants for the above application, however I realise we may not make the 3rd December deadline. Could we request an extension of time?

Many thanks.

Best Regards,

Alison



Alison Essex ARB
Architect
01444 452604
www.gouldbaxter.co.uk

Please note that my usual working days are Monday – Thursday.

Gould Baxter is the trading name of Gould Property Consultants LLP. Registered in England and Wales with Partnership No. OC318407
Registered office: 2 Lucastes Mews, Haywards Heath RH16 1HE



From: Emily Wade <Emily.Wade@midsussex.gov.uk>
Sent: 19 November 2025 09:38
To: Alison Essex <ae@gouldbaxter.co.uk>
Cc: Matthew Comber <mc@gouldbaxter.co.uk>
Subject: RE: GH1033 The Pavilion, Francis Road, Lindfield DM/25/2521

Hi Alison

Thank you for your email- yes of course, that's no problem, bearing in mind the target date of 3rd December, but that is still some way off.

Kind regards,

Emily Wade

Please note that this advice is given at Officer level only and is without prejudice to the formal decision of the District Council.

Submit your planning application online.
<http://www.planningportal.gov.uk>

Emily Wade Ma MSc
Conservation Officer
Planning Services
Tel: +44 (0)1444 477385
emily.wade@midsussex.gov.uk <http://www.midsussex.gov.uk>



From: Alison Essex <ae@gouldbaxter.co.uk>
Sent: 19 November 2025 09:03
To: Emily Wade <Emily.Wade@midsussex.gov.uk>
Cc: Matthew Comber <mc@gouldbaxter.co.uk>
Subject: GH1033 The Pavilion, Francis Road, Lindfield DM/25/2521

Hi Emily,

GH1033 The Pavilion, Francis Road, Lindfield DM/25/2521

Thank you for your comments. Can we have time to review them and speak with the client please?

We hope to be back in touch shortly.

Best Regards,

Alison



Alison Essex ARB
Architect
01444 452604
www.gouldbaxter.co.uk

Please note that my usual working days are Monday – Thursday.

Gould Baxter is the trading name of Gould Property Consultants LLP. Registered in England and Wales with Partnership No. OC318407
Registered office: 2 Lucastes Mews, Haywards Heath RH16 1HE



From: Emily Wade <Emily.Wade@midsussex.gov.uk>
Sent: 17 November 2025 15:25
To: Alison Essex <ae@gouldbaxter.co.uk>
Cc: Matthew Comber <mc@gouldbaxter.co.uk>
Subject: The Pavilion, Francis Road, Lindfield DM/25/2521

Dear Alison

I am writing to offer comments on the above application for listed building consent.

The Pavilion, as you know, is a Grade II listed former stained-glass studio dating from 1890 and located within the Lindfield Conservation Area. The Pavilion was originally associated with Old Lodge, which is located a short distance to the north- this II* listed 15th century manor house was substantially extended in the late 19th and early 20th century by Charles Eamer Kempe, a noted stained-glass artist, who also built The Pavilion. There are a number of other listed structures associated with the group, which form part of the late 19th century layout of the grounds of Old Place, including the gates and railings directly to the west of Old Place, a donkey wheel and sundial located within the grounds, and further gates and piers which are located to either end of an east-west pathway between the house and The Pavilion. The western set of these gates is the subject of the current application. These listed structures have a strong group value and derive part of their significance from their value as constituent parts of a designed landscape, intended to complement Old Place and The Pavilion.

The gates in question date from c.1880 and are of iron, in an elaborate design, and supported by carved timber gate posts which also bear a complementary iron screen. The current proposal is for works comprising the removal and disposal of the existing gate posts, removal and refurbishment of the gates and screen, construction of new brick and stone gate piers in a revised position set back from Francis Road including a new flanking pedestrian gateway and section of walling with a post/delivery box set into it, rehanging of the original gates, replacement of the screen mounted on brackets which would allow its removal, and associated hard and soft landscaping.

In my opinion, this proposal raises a number of concerns:

- Firstly, the loss of the existing, apparently original, wooden piers without compelling justification is unacceptable. No condition report by an appropriately experienced expert in historic timber has been received which would justify their loss, as opposed to their retention and (as necessary) sympathetic repair. Even supposing such a justification was forthcoming, the Council would not support the loss of the piers without like-for-like replacement.
- The wooden piers are a constituent part of the original 19th century design of the gateway, and their replacement with brick and stone piers of a different design is harmful to the special interest of the gateway and to the contribution that it makes through setting and group value to Old Place and The Pavilion and the other listed structures within the grounds.

- Whilst the sympathetic refurbishment of the gates and screen would be in principle welcomed, the proposal to reattach the screen with demountable fixings is not in my opinion acceptable. Although I note that the stated intention is that the screen would only be removed to allow access for tall vehicles, there would be nothing in practice to prevent the screen being permanently removed-the Council would not be able to control the extent or frequency of 'temporary' removals.
- Likewise in my opinion the revised position and design of the gateway to include the pedestrian gate and delivery box also detracts from its surviving original character and its significance, including its contribution to the surviving original layout of the grounds between Old Place and The Pavilion.

For these reasons, I consider that the application is contrary to the requirements of District Plan Policy DP34 (Listed Buildings and Other Heritage Assets). In terms of the NPPF, in my opinion the proposal results in substantial harm to the listed structure, such that paragraph 214 will apply. As the works do not result in any substantial public benefit which would outweigh the harm caused, and no clear or convincing justification for the work has been supplied, the NPPF requires that the application should be refused.

I am afraid that I am therefore unable to support the current application. Given the fundamental nature of the issues identified, I would recommend that the application is withdrawn; if not it would be my intention to write the application up with a recommendation for refusal within the current target date of 3rd December.

I also note that we have not received an application for planning permission alongside the current submission. Planning permission would be required for this work under Schedule 2 Parts 2 A.1(a)(ii) and (d), and as result of the Article 4(2) Direction which covers the Lindfield Conservation Area. Given the above, I would not currently recommend that a planning application is submitted, but were one to be received, I would also recommend refusal on the grounds set out above, and also harm to the character and appearance of the Lindfield Conservation Area, contrary to the requirements of District Plan Policy DP35 (Conservation Areas). In terms of the NPPF I would place the harm caused to the Area as less than substantial, at around the mid-point of that scale.

I am sorry not to be able to give a more positive response, but I look forward to hearing from you as to how you would like to proceed.

Kind regards,

Emily Wade

Please note that this advice is given at Officer level only and is without prejudice to the formal decision of the District Council.

Submit your planning application online.
<http://www.planningportal.gov.uk>

Emily Wade Ma MSc
Conservation Officer
Planning Services
Tel: +44 (0)1444 477385
emily.wade@midsussex.gov.uk <http://www.midsussex.gov.uk>



The information contained in this email may be subject to public disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act 2000. Unless the information contained in this email is legally exempt from disclosure, we cannot guarantee that we will not provide the whole or part of this email to a third party making a request for information about the subject matter of this email. This email and any attachments may contain confidential information and is intended only to be seen and used by the named addressees. If you are not the named addressee, any use, disclosure, copying, alteration or forwarding of this email and its attachments is unauthorised. If you have received this email in error please notify the sender immediately by email or by calling +44 (0) 1444 458 166 and remove this email and its attachments from your system. The views expressed within this email and any attachments are not necessarily the views or policies of Mid Sussex District Council. We have taken precautions to minimise the risk of transmitting software viruses, but we advise you to carry out your own virus checks before accessing this email and any attachments. Except where required by law, we shall not be responsible for any damage, loss or liability of any kind suffered in connection with this email and any attachments, or which may result from reliance on the contents of this email and any attachments.

The information contained in this email may be subject to public disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act 2000. Unless the information contained in this email is legally exempt from disclosure, we cannot guarantee that we will not provide the whole or part of this email to a third party making a request for information about the subject matter of this email. This email and any attachments may contain confidential information and is intended only to be seen and used by the named addressees. If you are not the named addressee, any use, disclosure, copying, alteration or forwarding of this email and its attachments is unauthorised. If you have received this email in error please notify the sender immediately by email or by calling +44 (0) 1444 458 166 and remove this email and its attachments from your system. The views expressed within this email and any attachments are not necessarily the views or policies of Mid Sussex

District Council. We have taken precautions to minimise the risk of transmitting software viruses, but we advise you to carry out your own virus checks before accessing this email and any attachments. Except where required by law, we shall not be responsible for any damage, loss or liability of any kind suffered in connection with this email and any attachments, or which may result from reliance on the contents of this email and any attachments.

The information contained in this email may be subject to public disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act 2000. Unless the information contained in this email is legally exempt from disclosure, we cannot guarantee that we will not provide the whole or part of this email to a third party making a request for information about the subject matter of this email. This email and any attachments may contain confidential information and is intended only to be seen and used by the named addressees. If you are not the named addressee, any use, disclosure, copying, alteration or forwarding of this email and its attachments is unauthorised. If you have received this email in error please notify the sender immediately by email or by calling +44 (0) 1444 458 166 and remove this email and its attachments from your system. The views expressed within this email and any attachments are not necessarily the views or policies of Mid Sussex District Council. We have taken precautions to minimise the risk of transmitting software viruses, but we advise you to carry out your own virus checks before accessing this email and any attachments. Except where required by law, we shall not be responsible for any damage, loss or liability of any kind suffered in connection with this email and any attachments, or which may result from reliance on the contents of this email and any attachments.