

From: planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk <planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk>
Sent: 08 February 2026 09:42:57 UTC+00:00
To: "Joseph Swift" <joseph.swift@midsussex.gov.uk>
Subject: Mid Sussex DC - Online Register - Comments for Planning Application
DM/26/0073

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 08/02/2026 9:42 AM.

Application Summary

Address:	Land Off West Hoathly Road East Grinstead West Sussex
Proposal:	The erection of 44 new homes with access from West Hoathly Road, vehicle and cycle parking, landscaping, drainage, and associated infrastructure and highway works.
Case Officer:	Joseph Swift

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Address:	57 Holtye Road East Grinstead
----------	-------------------------------

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour or general public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	
Comments:	This application site is located outside the Built-Up Area Boundary (BUAB) of East Grinstead as defined in the Mid Sussex District Plan and is therefore designated as countryside. This designation exists to safeguard the rural setting of the town and to protect the surrounding countryside from inappropriate development that would undermine its character and function. Local planning policies DP12 (Protection and Enhancement of the

Countryside) and DP15 (New Homes in the Countryside) place strict limits on new housing in such locations, permitting development only in genuinely exceptional circumstances.

These policies reflect the clear expectations of the local community that countryside land around East Grinstead should be protected from incremental housing growth and urban sprawl.

The proposed development directly conflicts with these policies and would result in unjustified encroachment into the countryside, eroding the established settlement boundary and setting a damaging precedent for further development outside the BUAB.

No exceptional circumstances have been demonstrated to justify overriding the adopted development plan or the strong local opposition to housing in this location.

Allowing this proposal would undermine the purpose of the Built-Up Area Boundary, weaken confidence in the planning system, and fail to respect the community's long-standing efforts to protect the rural character and identity of the area. For these reasons, the application should be refused.

Kind regards