

Delegated Decision

Sign off Sheet

Ref. No:	DM/26/0344	Case Officer:	Peter Davies
Application Type:	Householder Application		
Proposal:	Erection of single storey front and rear extension, two storey side extension with changes to fenestration		
Site:	82 Western Road, Haywards Heath, West Sussex, RH16 3LN, , , ,		
Validation Date	10 Feb 2026	Overall Expiry Date:	6 Mar 2026
Pre-Commencement Conditions Required:		Pre-Com Conditions Date Agreed:	
Recommendation:	Permission	Recommendation Date:	2 Apr 2026
Target Date:	7 Apr 2026	Recommending Officer Signature:	Peter Davies

Date Legal Agreement Completed: (if applicable)		No of Representations:	0
--	--	-------------------------------	---

Signed and Agreed By:	Stephen Ashdown	Date:	2 Apr 2026
Comments:			

MID SUSSEX DISTRICT COUNCIL

DM/26/0344

**82 Western Road, Haywards Heath, West Sussex, RH16 3LN, , ,
Erection of single storey front and rear extension, two storey side extension with changes to
fenestration
Mr And Mrs Altendorff**

REPRESENTATION RECEIVED

None received.

SUMMARY OF CONSULTEES

Souther Water: No objection

TOWN COUNCIL OBSERVATIONS

No comment.

INTRODUCTION

The application seeks planning permission for the erection of a two-storey side extension, single storey front and rear extension and fenestration changes.

RELEVANT PLANNING HISTORY

No relevant history.

SITE AND SURROUNDINGS

The application property is end of terrace two storey dwelling located on the east side of Wester Road, within the built up area boundary of Haywards Heath.

The application property has a hipped roof and is constructed from brick with interlocking roof tiles and white uPVC fenestration. The dwelling is set back from the highway with soft landscaping to the front. The locality is characterised by terraced and semi-detached dwellings with a varying form, scale and design.

APPLICATION DETAILS

The application seeks planning permission for the erection of a two-storey side extension, single storey front and rear extension and fenestration changes. The proposed two storey side extension will have a width and depth of approximately 3.30 metres and 6.90 metres. The extension will be set back 0.55 metres from the front of the existing dwelling have a hipped roof set down approximately 0.25 metres from the existing ridgeline. The extension will have an eaves height of 5.20 metres and an overall height of 8.10 metres.

The proposed single storey front extension is to be located in front of the existing front door and to the front of the proposed two storey side extension. The extension will have a width of 5.75 metres and a maximum depth of 2.0 metres and a bay window protruding an additional 0.45 metres. The extension will have a lean-to roof with an eaves height of 2.75 metres and an overall height of 3.55 metres.

The proposed rear extension will be the full width of the existing dwelling and the width of the two-storey side extension being approximately 9.60 metres. The extension will have a depth of 1.90 metres and

have a lean to roof with an eaves height of 2.55 metres and an overall height of 3.45 metres. The extension will have 3 no. rooflights on the roof slope.

The proposal will use brick, roof tiles and fenestration to match the existing dwelling.

LEGAL FRAMEWORK AND LIST OF POLICIES

Planning legislation holds that the determination of a planning application shall be made in accordance with the Development Plan unless material considerations indicate otherwise.

Using this as the starting point the development plan for this part of Mid Sussex consists of the District Plan, Site Allocation Development Plan Document (DPD) and Haywards Heath Neighbourhood Plan

National policy (which is contained in the National Planning Policy Framework and National Planning Policy Guidance) does not form part of the development plan, but is an important material consideration.

Mid Sussex District Plan

The District Plan was adopted at Full Council on 28th March 2018.

Relevant policies:

DP26 - Character and Design

Site Allocations DPD

The SADPD was adopted on 29th June 2022. It allocates sufficient housing and employment land to meet identified needs to 2031.

There are no relevant policies.

Haywards Heath Neighbourhood Plan (made December 2016)

Relevant policies:

E9 - Design

H9 - Building Extensions

Other Material Considerations

Mid Sussex District Plan 2021-2039 Consultation Draft

The District Council is reviewing and updating the District Plan. Upon adoption, the new District Plan 2021 - 2039 will replace the current District Plan 2014-2031 and its policies will have full weight. In accordance with the NPPF, Local Planning Authorities may give weight to relevant policies of the emerging plan according to the stage of preparation; the extent to which there are unresolved objections to the relevant policies; and the degree of consistency of the relevant policies in the emerging plan to the NPPF. The draft District Plan 2021-2039 (Regulation 19) is currently at Examination and hearings were concluded on the 19th March 2026. The District Plan remains at Examination until the Inspector has published his report, until that time only minimal weight can be given to the Plan and this planning application has been assessed against the policies of the adopted District Plan.

Relevant Policies:

DPS1 - Climate Change

DPS2 - Sustainable Design and Construction

DPB1 - Character and Design

Mid Sussex Design Guide Supplementary Planning Document (SPD)

The Council has adopted a 'Mid Sussex Design Guide' SPD that aims to help deliver high quality development across the district that responds appropriately to its context and is inclusive and sustainable. The Design Guide was adopted by Council on 4th November 2020 as an SPD for use in the consideration and determination of planning applications. The SPD is a material consideration in the determination of planning applications.

National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) (December 2024)

Paragraphs 8, 11, 131 and 135 are considered to be relevant.

National Planning Policy Guidance

ASSESSMENT

It is considered that the main issues that need to be considered in the determination of this application are as follows;

- Design and Character
- Impact on neighbouring properties
- Other matters
- Biodiversity Net Gain

Design and Character

Policy DP26 of the Mid Sussex District Plan states:

'All development and surrounding spaces, including alterations and extensions to existing buildings and replacement dwellings, will be well designed and reflect the distinctive character of the towns and villages while being sensitive to the countryside. All applicants will be required to demonstrate that development:

- *is of high quality design and layout and includes appropriate landscaping and greenspace;*
 - *contributes positively to, and clearly defines, public and private realms and should normally be designed with active building frontages facing streets and public open spaces to animate and provide natural surveillance;*
 - *creates a sense of place while addressing the character and scale of the surrounding buildings and landscape;*
 - *protects open spaces, trees and gardens that contribute to the character of the area;*
 - *protects valued townscapes and the separate identity and character of towns and villages;*
 - *does not cause significant harm to the amenities of existing nearby residents and future occupants of new dwellings, including taking account of the impact on privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight, and noise, air and light pollution (see Policy DP27);*
 - *creates a pedestrian-friendly layout that is safe, well connected, legible and accessible;*
 - *incorporates well integrated parking that does not dominate the street environment, particularly where high density housing is proposed;*
 - *positively addresses sustainability considerations in the layout and the building design;*
 - *take the opportunity to encourage community interaction by creating layouts with a strong neighbourhood focus/centre; larger (300+ unit) schemes will also normally be expected to incorporate a mixed use element;*
- optimises the potential of the site to accommodate development.'*

Policy E9 of the Haywards Heath Neighbourhood Plan states:

'Developers must demonstrate how their proposal will protect and reinforce the local character within the locality of the site. This will include having regard to the following design elements:

- o *height, scale, spacing, layout, orientation, design and materials of buildings,*

- o the scale, design and materials of the development (highways, footways, open space and landscape), and is sympathetic to the setting of any heritage asset,
- o respects the natural contours of a site and protects and sensitively incorporates natural features such as trees, hedges and ponds within the site,
- o creates safe, accessible and well-connected environments that meet the needs of users,
- o Will not result in unacceptable levels of light, noise, air or water pollution,
- o Makes best use of the site to accommodate development,
- o Car parking is designed and located so that it fits in with the character of the proposed development.

Proposals affecting a listed building, conservation area, building of local interest or public park of historic interest or their setting should preserve or enhance their special interest and/or distinctive character.'

Policy H9 of the Haywards Heath Neighbourhood Plan states:

'Extensions to existing dwellings will be permitted where it meets the following criteria:

- o The scale, height and form fit unobtrusively with the existing building and the character of the street scene.
- o Spacing between buildings would respect the character of the street scene.
- o Gaps which provide views out to surrounding countryside are maintained.
- o Materials are compatible with the materials of the existing building.
- o The traditional boundary treatment of an area is retained and, where feasible reinforced.
- o The privacy, daylight, sunlight and outlook of adjoining residents are safeguarded.'

Principle DG49 of the Mid Sussex Design Guide states: *'Extensions should typically use simple, uncomplicated building forms to compliment and coordinate with the scale, form and massing of the original dwelling. The design approach may benefit from coordinating with the existing pattern of window and door openings as well as employing facing materials to match those of the existing dwelling. Otherwise it should demonstrate the appropriateness of the alternative approach.'*

Principle DG50 relates to front and side extensions and states:

'Front extensions

Front extensions are more likely to be acceptable where the building line is staggered or where the dwelling is set well back from the road. They are less likely to be acceptable in streets with a strong consistent building form as they risk disrupting the underlying order.

Where front extensions are considered acceptable, they should normally be limited to a modest single storey or porch-type extension that reflect the character of the existing property.

Side extensions

Side extensions should normally be subservient to the original dwelling and typically be set-back from the front of the house to help define the original building.

The gaps between dwellings can sometimes positively contribute to articulating (for example, through the consistent rhythm of repeated houses) and adding interest in a street frontage or by providing views / visible connections to the surrounding countryside. Side extensions will therefore need to appropriately respond to the character of the street form and will not normally be accepted where they close an important gap and view.'

Principle DG51 relates to rear extensions and states:

'Rear extensions which are not visible from the street and do not negatively impact on neighbouring properties can be expressed in many forms, including by adopting a contemporary architectural approach. With reference to DG49, they should nevertheless have consideration for the character of the existing building and the relationship of the extension with the side boundaries and adjacent buildings and gardens.'

The proposed extensions are considered to be of a design form and scale which would be acceptable to the character of the dwelling and the area. The two storey extension is subservient to the main dwelling with the frontage set back and a lower ridge height. The front extension is of modest scale with the dwelling set back from the highway. These extensions will be visible from the street scene however will be built from materials to match the existing dwelling and not result in significant changes to the appearance of the terraced line of dwellings.

The proposed rear extension whilst of a large width is of a modest depth and of an appropriate design, constructed from materials to match the existing dwelling. the proposed rear extension would not be visible from the street scene.

The proposal is therefore considered to comply with policy DP26 of the District Plan and policies E9 and H9 of the Haywards Heath Neighbourhood Plan.

Impact on neighbouring properties

Policy H9 states that proposals should "safeguard" adjoining neighbours amenity whereas policy DP26 of the MSDP states that development should not cause significant harm to the amenities of existing nearby residents and future occupants of new dwellings, including taking account of the impact on privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight, and noise, air and light pollution. There is therefore some conflict between the District Plan and Neighbourhood Plan in this respect.

Under section 38(5) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 if a policy contained in a development plan for an area conflicts with another policy in the development plan, the conflict must be resolved in favour of the policy which is contained in the last document to be adopted, approved or published. As such, policy DP26 of the MSDP is considered to take precedence and therefore the test in this instance is whether the development causes significant harm to neighbouring amenities as outlined above.

The proposed extension would be located approximately 0.90 metres from the shared boundary with no. 80 Western Road to the south. No. 80 is set back from the shared boundary by approximately 3.90 metres. The extension will have only a ground floor window on the side elevation serving the dining room with the rest of the openings on the extensions face the highway and the street scene or into the application property garden. No. 80 has an outbuilding up to the shared boundary set back just behind the dwellings.

The proposed rear extension would be up to the shared boundary with no. 84 to the north however the extension is of a modest depth and height and is therefore not going to result in significant harm to the neighbouring amenities.

The proposal is therefore, considered to comply with the above-mentioned part of Policy DP26 of the Mid Sussex District Plan.

Other Matters

Southern Water have provided comments on the proposal and state surface water should not be disposed of into a public foul sewer and that any new connection would require a new sewer connection application to be submitted and approved by Southern Water.

Biodiversity Net Gain

Biodiversity net gain is required under a statutory framework introduced by Schedule 7A of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990. This is a householder application within the meaning of article 2(1) of the Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) Order 2015. As a householder application this development is exempt from the requirement to provide biodiversity net gain.

PLANNING BALANCE AND CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the proposal is considered to be acceptable in terms of design, form and scale to the dwellinghouse and locality and would not cause significant harm to the amenities of neighbouring properties. Therefore, the proposal complies with Mid Sussex District Plan policy DP26, policies E9 and H9 of the Haywards Heath Neighbourhood Plan and with the relevant provisions of the NPPF. The application therefore accords with the Development Plan and is considered acceptable.

Decision: Permission

Case Officer: Peter Davies