

MIL

17 OCT 2022

PLANNING APPLICATION DM/22/2725

I WOULD LIKE TO OBJECT TO THE ABOVE PLANNING APPLICATION

- 1/ TURNER COURT IS A NARROW QUAY SYSTEM WITH A VERY NARROW SLIPROAD GOING BEHIND 142 AND US AT 140 HOUTE ROAD GOING TO THE TURNER COURT HOUSE BEHIND WE PREMISES.
- 2/ THE GARDEN AT 142 HOUTE ROAD IS TOO SMALL TO ERASE ANOTHER DWELLING. ARE THEY HAVING TO KNOCK DOWN PART OF THE GARDEN (THE DRAINAGE) WALL. WHAT WITH MACHINERY/ DIGGERS ETC DELIVERED OF MATERIALS AND A WORKFORCE AND TRAIL VEHICLES CAN GET TOTAL CHAOS
- 3/ I AM ALSO CONCERNED THAT MY WALL TO PLANT GARDEN OF TREES, BAMBOO, ACERS AND MANY EXOTIC LIKE PALMS AND TREES ETC WILL BE AFFECTED BY EXCAVATION WORK YOU WILL ONLY GET THE IDEA OF THIS IF YOU COME AND LOOK AT THE SITUATION.
- 4/ WE LIKE TO RELAX IN OUR "TROPICAL GARDEN" WITHOUT NOISE AND DISTURBANCE OF A BUILDING SITE APPEARING IN FRONT OF OUR GARDEN WITH LOSS OF OUTLOOK FROM OUR GARDEN.
- 5/ TURNER COURT IS A VERY WELL USED ROAD AND DOES NOT HAVE PROVISION FOR ANOTHER DWELLING.
- 6/ WE HAVE ALREADY HAD TWO YEARS OF NIMMO MILEN AND HIS WORKFORCE WORKING ALL HOURS WHEN DOING UP 142 HOUTE ROAD. WHEN I HAD PEOPLE OVER I HAD TO ASK THEM HIM BECAUSE OF THE NOISE OF HIS WORKFORCE WORKING ALL HOURS INCLUDING 8-PM AND WEEKENDS

I HAD TO TELL HIM THIS IS A RESIDENTIAL AREA
NOT A BUILDING SITE. I HOPE THIS DOES NOT HAPPEN
AGAIN.

HILLTOP APARTMENT IS REFUSED

