

From: planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk <planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk>
Sent: 03 March 2026 23:19:14 UTC+00:00
To: "Andy Watt" <andy.watt@midsussex.gov.uk>
Subject: Mid Sussex DC - Online Register - Comments for Planning Application
DM/26/0317

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 03/03/2026 11:19 PM.

Application Summary

Address:	Glebelands Field Lodge Lane Bolney West Sussex
Proposal:	A phased development of up to 9 self build dwellings.
Case Officer:	Andrew Watt

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Address:	Windmill House Top Street Bolney
----------	----------------------------------

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour or general public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments:	<p>I strongly object to this Application.</p> <p>This is countryside and should be retained as such: the proposed site is a field used for agricultural purposes on a rural single carriageway used mainly by pedestrians, cyclists and horse-riders. The existing road would certainly not service the additional vehicles that would result from the development.</p> <p>Development would extend the village into open countryside. The villages of England should be saved and celebrated; not destroyed planning application by planning application. With the development currently proposed at Foxhole Lane (200 homes) further development to the village MUST not be permitted. The</p>
-----------	--

clue is in the name - 'BOLNEY VILLAGE'!

Lodge Lane is a popular walking route for residents and visitors to the village alike. To take this route away would remove the primary non-vehicle access route around the village.

During any construction phase the additional traffic to the small lanes of the village would be considerable and disruptive - these roads are not wide enough to allow for large vehicles and safety concerns would be real.

Research shows that:

- The site is located in an area with low capacity for change.
- It is unclear whether a safe approach can be achieved for the site due to the location of the access.
- Although adjacent to the built-up area, the site lays in a rural setting
- Site is adjacent to an area of ancient woodland or within a 15m buffer form an area of an ancient. Development of the site may result in some harm.
- There are listed buildings in close proximity to the south and curtilage of two grade II listed properties.
- Access to a main service centre is only likely by private car.
- The site was rejected for the development of 75 houses at the pre-application stage in March 2024 on the basis that it was not included in the District Plan, that the roads around the site would be adversely affected by additional traffic generated and there is insufficient infrastructure (Water/BT/Electricity etc) to cope with the development.

Additionally, it appears that some neighbours to the site were not informed of the application and there are no notices on the site. Protocols must be adhered to.

Kind regards