

From: planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk <planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk>
Sent: 03 March 2026 19:25:01 UTC+00:00
To: "Andy Watt" <andy.watt@midsussex.gov.uk>
Subject: Mid Sussex DC - Online Register - Comments for Planning Application
DM/26/0317

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 03/03/2026 7:24 PM.

Application Summary

Address:	Glebelands Field Lodge Lane Bolney West Sussex
Proposal:	A phased development of up to 9 self build dwellings.
Case Officer:	Andrew Watt

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Address:	Redbrae The Street Bolney
----------	---------------------------

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour or general public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments:	I strongly object to this proposal, as it is inappropriate in terms of its scale, siting and sustainability. The scheme represents backland development on open agricultural land, which makes the location unsuitable for new housing given that it lies in the countryside beyond the defined built-up area boundary. The proposal would introduce a suburban-style development that bears no relationship to the village's historic settlement pattern. Extending the village into open countryside in this way would damage the character of the landscape and erode the transition to the High Weald National Landscape. Access would be taken from Lodge Lane, a very narrow rural road
-----------	---

regularly used by pedestrians, cyclists and horse riders, raising significant highway safety concerns. The location is also unsustainable, with few safe walking routes and very limited public transport options. As a result, future residents would be heavily dependent on private vehicles, increasing traffic on already constrained rural lanes.

In addition, the development would harm the setting of nearby listed buildings and negatively affect the character and appearance of the Bolney Conservation Area. The Council has previously assessed the site as having a low capacity for change, and the constraints identified at that time remain relevant and unresolved.

Kind regards