

From: planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk <planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk>
Sent: 08 May 2025 18:09:25 UTC+01:00
To: "planninginfo" <planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk>
Subject: Mid Sussex DC - Online Register - Comments for Planning Application
DM/24/2957

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 08/05/2025 6:09 PM.

Application Summary

Address:	The Prince Albert Copthorne Bank Copthorne Crawley West Sussex RH10 3QX
Proposal:	Sever existing land to retain existing public house use and area of pub garden and erect a convenience store and 4 no. apartments with associated works, including alterations to the existing vehicular access and car park (Amended plans and retail justification received 1 May 2025)
Case Officer:	Andrew Watt

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Address: Harvest Cottage Copthorne Bank, Copthorne

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour or general public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	
Comments:	These revisions and documents submitted do not alter my previous comments at all. Nor does it give any further justification for this proposal. The revised elevation to Brookhill Road illustrates perfectly how the three-storey apartments over shadows every thing around it, totally not in keeping with surrounding area at all.

There is no indication that the design of the store would be improved.

The Retail Justification Report Is full of contrived data struggling to give justification for this store, based on suppositions with emphasis that 500 houses i.e. Heathy Wood need this. If so, put the store there, thus preventing any further traffic pollution at this busy junction.

The letter re the pub usage is self-opinionated and misleading to those who do not use the pub.

Like most pubs it has struggled due the pandemic but leaving the pub with such a small amount of land gives no potential to expand in the future.

It should be noted, whilst maybe not a planning issue, that if this convenience store is allowed and the adjacent newly refurbished store cannot compete the village will lose along with it another important asset that is the post office.

I do hope the majority are listened to and the proposals are not allowed to go ahead.

Thanks

Kind regards