

From: planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk <planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk>
Sent: 04 March 2025 20:35:04 UTC+00:00
To: "Steven King" <steven.king@midsussex.gov.uk>
Subject: Mid Sussex DC - Online Register - Comments for Planning Application
DM/25/0016

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 04/03/2025 8:35 PM.

Application Summary

Address:	Land West Of Turners Hill Road And North Of Huntsland Turners Hill Road Crawley Down West Sussex
Proposal:	Outline planning application (appearance, landscaping, layout and scale reserved) for the erection of up to 150 dwellings, a 65 bed care home, and community facility, and associated infrastructure including new access points off of Wychwood with associated spine road and car and cycle parking, together with provision of open space, play facilities, utilities infrastructure, surface water drainage features, and associated works.
Case Officer:	Steven King

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Address: Rosewood, Wallage Lane Rowfant Crawley Down

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour or general public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	
Comments:	As a resident of Wallage Lane with a property backing onto the Worth Way at the foot of the proposed Huntsland development, I strongly object to this 'over' development for the reasons covered in the numerous objections already submitted and specifically the

issues outlined by Dr. James B. Thring on behalf of the Rowfant Society.

Additionally and of particular concern, is the risk of excessive flooding to the area surrounding our property due to this development and further decimation of our precious rural areas.

The flood risk of our property is currently 'very low' according to the Gov.UK website and In the 28 years we have lived at our property, we have never encountered flooding.

It is my understanding the law states a development cannot make a flood risk any worse than current and must be equal or better?

It appears from the plans that this development will indeed cause serious flooding to the foot of the hill where myself and other residents' properties currently lie and therefore this development in its current form is illegal and should be refused.

Kind regards