

Consultee Response

Case Ref: DM/25/2376	Date: 20 October 2025
From: NatureSpace	Response: Reasonable Avoidance Measures (RAMS)
This planning application is for: Relocation of childrens play area fence and construction of path on edge of village green. Construction of eighteen public car parking spaces within public highway boundary to replace existing footpath and verge. Summary - The development falls within the red impact risk zone for great crested newts. Impact risk zones have been derived through advanced modelling to create a species distribution map which predicts likely presence. In the red impact zone, there is highly suitable habitat and a high likelihood of great crested newt presence. - There are 5 ponds within 500m of the development proposal, the closest of which is located 100m north west.  Figure above: Outline of the site (red) in the context of the surrounding landscape, including the Impact Risk Zones for GCN. Ponds are shown in light blue, not all ponds are shown on map. A 250m buffer is shown around the site in green and a 500m buffer in blue. Contains public sector information licensed under the Open Government Licence v3.0. Ecological Information The applicant has provided an ecological report, <i>Preliminary Ecological Appraisal, Bowers Place, Crawley Downs, RH10 4HY, Coyne Environmental LTD, August 2024</i>. Within this report it states that: - The habitats were recorded on site are as follows: Developed land – sealed surface – Road – Children’s Play Space, Artificial unvegetated, unsealed surface – Road Verge or Island, Modified Grassland – Frequently Mown - GCN. There are no watercourses on site or nearby with a few scattered ponds outside of the main town. - Due to urban infrastructure such as roads and buildings surrounding the site being barriers to entry, paired with the much more attractive habitat outside of the main urban area and	

no watercourses being present on site, a Habitat Suitability Index (HSI) for Great Crested Newts (GCN) was not deemed necessary as the site is one of negative value for GCN and other amphibians such as toads and frogs.

Conclusion and recommendation for conditions

It would be unreasonable to require the applicant to submit a survey because this could be considered disproportionate to the scale and the likely impacts of the development. However, the application site lies within a red impact zone as per the modelled district licence impact map, which indicates that there is highly suitable habitat for GCN within the area surrounding the application site. Additionally, there is a pond located within 100m of the development site, with only partial barriers present.

Therefore, I recommend the use of a Precautionary Working Methods Statement (PWMS). This must be written by a suitably qualified ecologist, and should include measures relating to material storage and trench management. This should then be submitted to the Local Planning Authority for approval prior to determination or secured in a condition such as below:

Condition “No development shall take place until a Precautionary Working Methods Statement (PWMS) detailing reasonable avoidance measures for great crested newts has been submitted to and approved by the Local Planning Authority. Works shall only be undertaken in accordance with the approved Method Statement.”

Please note that Naturespace can be contacted at any time for a quote to enter the District Licensing scheme. More details on the District Licensing Scheme operated by the council can be found at www.naturespaceuk.com

Contact details: info@naturespaceuk.com

Relationship between NatureSpace and Mid Sussex District Council

© NatureSpace Partnership Limited 2025. This work is the copyright of NatureSpace Partnership Limited and is not to be reproduced, edited, modified or used for any purposes without express written permission from NatureSpace Partnership Limited.

Mid Sussex District Council holds a Great Crested Newt Organisational (or “District”) Licence granted by Natural England. This is administered by NatureSpace Partnership through their District Licensing Scheme as the council’s delivery partner. A dedicated ‘District Licensing Officer’ is employed by NatureSpace to provide impartial advice to the council and help guide them and planning applicants through the process. All services and arrangements are facilitated in an unbiased, independent and transparent manner. You can find out more at www.naturespaceuk.com

Legislation, Policy and Guidance

Reasonable Likelihood of Protected Species

Permission can be refused if adequate information on protected species is not provided by an applicant, as it will be unable to assess the impacts on the species and thus meet the requirements of the National Planning Policy Framework (2023), ODPM Circular 06/2005 or the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 (as amended). The Council has the power to request information under Article 4 of the Town and Country (Planning Applications) Regulations 1988 (SI1988.1812) (S3) which covers general information for full applications. CLG 2007 ‘The validation of planning applications’ states that applications should not be registered if there is a requirement for an assessment of the impacts of a development on biodiversity interests.

Section 99 of ODPM Circular 06/2005 states:

“It is essential that the presence or otherwise of protected species, and the extent that they may be affected by the proposed development, is established before the planning permission is granted, otherwise all relevant material considerations may not have been addressed in making the decision. The need to ensure ecological surveys are carried out should therefore only be left to coverage under planning conditions in exceptional circumstances, with the result that the surveys are carried out after planning permission has been granted. However, bearing in mind the delay and cost that may be involved, developers should not be required to undertake surveys for protected species unless there is a reasonable likelihood of the species being present and affected by development. Where this is the case, the survey should be completed and any necessary measures to protect the species should be in place, through conditions and / or planning obligations before permission is granted.”

Great crested newts

Great crested newts and their habitats are fully protected under the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 (as amended). Therefore, it is illegal to deliberately capture, injure, kill, disturb or take great crested newts or to damage or destroy breeding sites or resting places. Under the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended) it is illegal to intentionally or recklessly disturb any great crested newts occupying a place of shelter or protection, or to obstruct access to any place of shelter or protection (see the legislation or seek legal advice for full details). Local planning authorities have a statutory duty in exercising of all their functions to ‘*have regard, so far as is consistent with the proper exercise of those functions, to the purpose of conserving and enhancing biodiversity,*’ as stated under section 40 of the Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act 2006 (as amended), as well as a duty under the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 (as amended) to have regard to the requirements of the Habitats Directive. As a result, GCN and their habitats are a material consideration in the planning process.

Lifespan of Ecological Reports and Surveys

Validity of ecological reports and surveys can become compromised overtime due to being out-of-date. CIEEM Guidelines for Ecological Report Writing (CIEEM, 2017) states, if the age of data is between 12-18 months, *“the report authors should highlight whether they consider it likely to be necessary to update surveys”*. If the age of the data is between 18 months to 3 years an updated survey and report will be required and anything more than 3 years old *“The report is unlikely to still be valid and most, if not all, of the surveys are likely to need to be updated”*.