

From: Katherine Williams
Sent: 13 August 2026 14:36:27 UTC+01:00
To: "'Tim Rodway | Rodway Planning'" <tim@rodwayplanning.co.uk>
Subject: RE: DM25/2473 - Warninglid Primary School, Slaugham Lane, Warninglid
Attachments: RE: DM/25/2473 Warninglid Primary School

Hi Tim,

Thank you for your emails, and my apologies for the delay in my response.

The Odour Risk Assessment has been forwarded to Southern Water for comment.

Attached are the latest comments from Emily.

The latest Viability Assessment has been forwarded to the Housing Enabling Officer who is in the process of instructing a consultant.

All the requested evidence and updated drainage report is required at application stage and is not considered to be matters that can subject to condition.

Kind Regards

Katherine Williams BSc (Hons) MSc
Senior Planning Officer
Mid Sussex District Council
01444 477214

www.midsussex.gov.uk



Working together for a better Mid Sussex

From: Tim Rodway | Rodway Planning <tim@rodwayplanning.co.uk>
Sent: 10 August 2026 17:53
To: Katherine Williams <katherine.williams@midsussex.gov.uk>
Subject: RE: DM25/2473 - Warninglid Primary School, Slaugham Lane, Warninglid

Hi Katherine,

With reference to the above, please find attached an Odour Risk Assessment.

Please can you provide me with an update on this Planning Application?

Best regards,

Tim

TIM RODWAY

DIRECTOR / M +44 (0)7818 061220



RODWAY PLANNING CONSULTANCY / T +44 (0)1273 780 463 / RODWAYPLANNING.CO.UK

CONFIDENTIALITY & DISCLAIMER NOTICE: This e-mail is intended only for the addressee named above and the contents should not be disclosed to any other party. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender and delete the e-mail and any attachments from your system. Although this email has been scanned for viruses, I advise you to carry out your own virus checks before opening any attachment as I cannot accept liability for any damage sustained as a result of any software viruses or other malicious code.

From: Tim Rodway | Rodway Planning

Sent: 31 July 2026 10:33

To: Katherine Williams <katherine.williams@midsussex.gov.uk>

Subject: DM25/2473 - Warninglid Primary School, Slaugham Lane, Warninglid

Dear Katherine,

Further to recent correspondence in respect of the above Planning Application, please now find attached an updated Viability Assessment report and associated financial appraisal sheet. This has been revised in response to the matters raised by the Council's Principal Housing Enabling Officer, and specifically it now references affordable housing and the related District Plan policy (DP31). The report now fully assesses the viability of the scheme, and concludes that **"We therefore consider the development proposed with the compliance of Policy DP31 is not viable."** We trust that this is satisfactory and you can now proceed to reconsult with the Housing Enabling Officer, and also engage with the independent viability consultants as required.

With respect to the further comments from the Flood Risk and Drainage Team (their email dated 17th July 2026), it is noted that the relevant highways surface water guidance says: *"There will be a presumption against connections into existing highway drainage systems unless sufficient evidence is provided that the highway drainage system has capacity..."* Therefore this requires evidence that (1) the highway drain has capacity; and (2) the existing system is investigated to establish its connectivity, line, level and outfall where records are unavailable.

The Applicant has confirmed that Moody Sewage have already demonstrated that: The receiving drain is highway-owned, it has capacity and they've surveyed the connection - and therefore this meets the requirements of the guidance. The Applicants have had discussions with Kevin Macknay at WSCC in respect of this matter. Kevin's email of 24th July is attached, but you will note that he confirms that *"If an existing connection into the adjacent highway drainage system exists, we (WSCC) cannot remove that right of connection. However, any discharge from any new development must remain at the existing rate."*

EAS will be providing an updated drainage report, which will include calculations to address the Flood Risk & Drainage Officer's concerns regarding ownership and capacity. Kevin's response now seems to provide clarity on the remaining issue: the principle of continuing to utilise the existing highway connection, provided we can demonstrate it exists and that the redevelopment does not increase the discharge rate.

On this basis - and before the updated EAS report is finalised and issued to you - please can you confirm (following consultation with the Flood Risk and Drainage Team) whether the remaining evidence of the existing connection could be secured by way of a pre-commencement planning condition?

When responding we would be grateful if you could also provide us with any further updates, for example, has Emily Wade provided you with her final comments yet?

We look forward to receiving your response.

Best regards,

TIM RODWAY

DIRECTOR / M +44 (0)7818 061220



RODWAY PLANNING CONSULTANCY / T +44 (0)1273 780 463 / RODWAYPLANNING.CO.UK

CONFIDENTIALITY & DISCLAIMER NOTICE: This e-mail is intended only for the addressee named above and the contents should not be disclosed to any other party. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender and delete the e-mail and any attachments from your system. Although this email has been scanned for viruses, I advise you to carry out your own virus checks before opening any attachment as I cannot accept liability for any damage sustained as a result of any software viruses or other malicious code.