

From: Steven King
Sent: 01 July 2025 17:39:28 UTC+01:00
To: "devcon.team@thameswater.co.uk" <devcon.team@thameswater.co.uk>
Subject: Planning applications DM/25/0014, DM/25/0015, DM/25/0016 and DM/25/0017
Attachments: 3rd Party Planning Application - DM/25/0014, 3rd Party Planning Application - DM/25/0015 - amended response, 3rd Party Planning Application - DM/25/0016

Dear Sir/Madam

I'm writing with regards to the above planning applications.

Applications DM/25/0014 & DM/25/0015 (southern site) are duplicates and applications DM/25/0016 & DM/25/0017 (northern site) are duplicates.

I'd be grateful for clarification on whether the following condition is required both sites:

"The development shall not be occupied until confirmation has been provided that either:- 1. All foul water network upgrades required to accommodate the additional flows from the development have been completed; or- 2. A development and infrastructure phasing plan has been agreed with the Local Authority in consultation with Thames Water to allow development to be occupied. Where a development and infrastructure phasing plan is agreed, no occupation shall take place other than in accordance with the agreed development and infrastructure phasing plan."

On the original response for the southern site dated 18th February 2025 (attached), the condition is recommended, but on the amended response of 17th April 2025 (attached), the condition is not recommended. We have only received one consultation response for the northern site dated 21st February 2025 (attached) and this does recommend the condition.

I'd be grateful if you could clarify whether the suggested condition is or is not required for both the southern and northern sites.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Regards

Steven King, BSc (Hons) Dip TP, MRTPI
Team Leader, Major Development
Development Management
01444 477556
www.midsussex.gov.uk

Working together for a better Mid Sussex