

1. CASE DETAILS			
Case Reference	DM/25/2637	Brief description of the project / development	Residential development of up to 80 dwellings with access and associated infrastructure on land West of Kings Business Centre, Reeds Lane, Sayers Common.
Agent	SLR Consulting		
LPA	MSDC		
2. EIA DETAILS			
Is the project Schedule 1 development according to Schedule 1 of the EIA Regulations?		No	
If YES, which description of development (THEN GO TO Q4)		Click here to enter text.	
Is the project Schedule 2 development under the EIA Regulations?		Yes	
If YES, under which description of development in Column 1 and Column 2?		10(b) Urban Development Projects	
Notes			
Is the development within, partly within, or near a 'sensitive area' as defined by Regulation 2 of the EIA Regulations?		No	
If YES, which area?		Click here to enter text.	
Are the applicable thresholds/criteria in Column 2 exceeded/met?		No	
If yes, which applicable threshold/criteria?		Click here to enter text.	
Notes		The site area measures approximately 4.4 hectares and the development is for up to 80 dwellings. This means the applicable thresholds/criteria in Column 2 (10 (b))ii) and (iii)) are not exceeded.	
3. LPA/SOS SCREENING			
Has the LPA or SoS issued a Screening Opinion (SO) or Screening Direction (SD)? (In the case of Enforcement appeals, has a Regulation 37 notice been issued)		No	
If yes, is a copy of the SO/SD on the file?			
If yes, is the SO/SD positive?			
4. ENVIRONMENTAL STATEMENT			
Has the appellant supplied an ES for the current or previous (if reserved matters or conditions) application?		N/A	

WHEN COMPLETING THIS DOCUMENT IN RELATION TO AN ENFORCEMENT APPEAL, THE UNDERSIGNED OFFICER HAS HAD REGARD TO THE PROJECT AS ALLEGED IN THE RELEVANT ENFORCEMENT NOTICE WHEN REFERING TO THE PROJECT / DEVELOPMENT.

Question	Likely or unlikely		Likely to have a significant effect or not.	
1. NATURAL RESOURCES				
1.1 Will construction, operation or decommissioning of the project involve actions which will cause physical changes in the topography of the area?	Yes	Likely, the Proposed Development will result in development taking place that would result in changes to topography.	No	No significant effects identified – Whilst there will be some minor changes to the site levels, the proposal would not result in significant changes to the topography of the area.
1.2 Will construction or operation of the project use natural resources above or below ground such as land, soil, water, materials/minerals or energy which are non-renewable or in short supply?	Yes	Likely, energy and materials will be used in construction and once built there will be ongoing use of energy and water in the houses by future residents	No	No significant effects identified – energy and material use would not be significant and the resources identified are not in locally short supply. Mitigation can also be secured through sustainable design and construction
1.3 Are there any areas on/around the location which contain important, high quality or scarce resources which could be affected by the project, e.g. forestry, agriculture, water/coastal, fisheries, minerals?	Yes	Likely, the site is located on a brick clay Mineral Safeguarding Area.	No	No significant effects identified – the resources identified are not scarce.
2. WASTE				
2.1 Will the project produce solid wastes during construction or operation or decommissioning?	Yes	Likely, waste will be produced during the construction phase of the project. During operation, the proposed development will produce waste from the occupation of the houses (household refuse).	No	No significant effects identified – the waste produced will not be significant. In the construction phase this will be normal construction waste mitigated through a site waste management plan. During the occupation of the dwellings this would be normal household refuse to be disposed of appropriately. .
3. POLLUTION AND NUISANCES				
3.1 Will the project release pollutants or any hazardous, toxic or noxious substances to air?	Yes	Likely, pollutants will be released into the air from exhaust emissions from the vehicles moving to, from and within the application site during construction and there will be exhaust emissions from vehicles belonging to future occupiers of the dwellings and those visiting the dwellings.	No	No significant effects identified – the pollutants produced will not be significant.
3.2 Will the project cause noise and vibration or release of light, heat, energy or electromagnetic radiation?	Yes	Likely, temporary noise impacts during Construction and some noise/light post completion	No	No significant effects identified – the pollutants produced will not be significant or long lasting or could be controlled with appropriate conditions and/or mitigation.
3.3 Will the project lead to risks of contamination of land or water from releases of pollutants onto the ground or into surface	Yes	Likely, the proposal could result in contamination of the watercourse to the west of the site from water runoff during the construction phase.	No	No significant effects identified – risk of contamination low and can be controlled and mitigated, if required, using appropriate construction management

Question	Likely or unlikely		Likely to have a significant effect or not.	
waters, groundwater, coastal waters or the sea?				procedures to prevent surface water from the construction site flowing into the watercourse.
3.4 Are there any areas on or around the location which are already subject to pollution or environmental damage, e.g. where existing legal environmental standards are exceeded, which could be affected by the project?	Yes	Likely, there are some areas of land that could be contaminated by past land uses	No	No significant effects identified – any existing land contamination will need to be remediated as part of the development.
4. POPULATION AND HUMAN HEALTH				
4.1 Will there be any risk of major accidents (including those caused by climate change, in accordance with scientific knowledge) during construction, operation or decommissioning?	No	Unlikely.	No	No significant effects identified.
4.2 Will the project present a risk to the population (having regard to population density) and their human health during construction, operation or decommissioning? (for example due to water contamination or air pollution)	No	Unlikely.	No	No significant effects identified.
5. WATER RESOURCES				
5.1 Are there any water resources including surface waters, e.g. rivers, lakes/ponds, coastal or underground waters on or around the location which could be affected by the project, particularly in terms of their volume and flood risk?	Yes	Likely, there are ponds and/or surface water drainage routes within the site and/or surrounding it.	No	No significant effects identified – risk of water contamination low and can be controlled using appropriate construction materials, impact on surface water drainage and flood risk can be controlled using appropriate design/mitigation measures.
6. BIODIVERSITY (SPECIES AND HABITATS)				
6.1 Are there any protected areas which are designated or classified for their terrestrial, avian and marine ecological value, or any non-designated / non-classified areas which are important or sensitive for reasons of their terrestrial, avian and marine ecological value, located on or around the location and which could be affected by the project? (e.g. wetlands, watercourses or other water-bodies, the coastal zone, mountains, forests or woodlands, undesignated nature reserves or	No	Unlikely as the site is historically agricultural and is not designated or classified for its terrestrial, avian and marine ecological value. None of the woodland bordering the Application Site is classed as Ancient Woodland.	No	No significant effects identified.

Question	Likely or unlikely		Likely to have a significant effect or not.	
parks. (Where designated indicate level of designation (international, national, regional or local))).				
6.2 Could any protected, important or sensitive species of flora or fauna which use areas on or around the site, e.g. for breeding, nesting, foraging, resting, over-wintering, or migration, be affected by the project?	Yes	Likely, the Application Site and its surrounding area are likely to be used by various species. Boundary trees and vegetation are also likely to support biodiversity.	No	No significant effects identified. Through avoidance and mitigation, impacts on protected, important and sensitive species can be avoided.
7. LANDSCAPE AND VISUAL				
7.1 Are there any areas or features on or around the location which are protected for their landscape and scenic value, and/or any non-designated / non-classified areas or features of high landscape or scenic value on or around the location which could be affected by the project? ¹ Where designated indicate level of designation (international, national, regional or local).	Yes	Likely, whilst the site is not considered to be a high value landscape, views of the site from within the South Downs National Park to the south are possible.	No	No significant effects identified and the application will be expected to be accompanied by a Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment to assess key viewpoints.
7.2 Is the project in a location where it is likely to be highly visible to many people? (If so, from where, what direction, and what distance?)	No	Likely, public footpath 1AL runs across the Application Site from the central western side to the south eastern corner.	No	No significant effects identified. Whilst the development will likely be visible, it will not be highly visible to many people.
8. CULTURAL HERITAGE/ARCHAEOLOGY				
8.1 Are there any areas or features which are protected for their cultural heritage or archaeological value, or any non-designated / classified areas and/or features of cultural heritage or archaeological importance on or around the location which could be affected by the project (including potential impacts on setting, and views to, from and within)? Where designated indicate level of designation (international, national, regional or local).	Yes	Unlikely, as there are no listed buildings in the vicinity of the Application Site.	No	No significant effects identified. The Proposed Development will be supported by a heritage statement that will also identify any non-designated heritage assets that are impacted.

¹ See question 8.1 for consideration of impacts on heritage designations and receptors, including on views to, within and from designated areas.

Question	Likely or unlikely		Likely to have a significant effect or not.	
9. TRANSPORT AND ACCESS				
9.1 Are there any routes on or around the location which are used by the public for access to recreation or other facilities, which could be affected by the project?	Yes	Likely, public footpath 1AL runs across the Application Site from the central western side to the south eastern corner.	No	No significant effects identified as the Applicant has not identified access to this footpath or its existing route will be affected by the development.
9.2 Are there any transport routes on or around the location which are susceptible to congestion or which cause environmental problems, which could be affected by the project?	No	Unlikely.	No	No significant effects identified. It would be expected that all transport matters stemming from the development would be considered as part of a transport assessment/statement.
10. LAND USE				
10.1 Are there existing land uses or community facilities on or around the location which could be affected by the project? E.g. housing, densely populated areas, industry / commerce, farm/agricultural holdings, forestry, tourism, mining, quarrying, facilities relating to health, education, places of worship, leisure /sports / recreation.	Yes	Likely, there are residential properties immediately adjacent to the Site.	No	The proposed development would be seen from the houses adjoining the site. There would be some impact on existing residents adjoining the site during the construction phase. However these impacts will be temporary and can be mitigated by the use of a Construction Management Plan to control aspects of the construction process.
10.2 Are there any plans for future land uses on or around the location which could be affected by the project?	No	Unlikely	No	No significant effects identified.
11. LAND STABILITY AND CLIMATE				
11.1 Is the location susceptible to earthquakes, subsidence, landslides, erosion, or extreme /adverse climatic conditions, e.g. temperature inversions, fogs, severe winds, which could cause the project to present environmental problems?	No	Unlikely, no such risks are known in the area.	No	No significant effects identified.
12. CUMULATIVE EFFECTS				
12.1 Could this project together with existing and/or approved development result in cumulation of impacts together during the construction/operation phase?	Yes	Likely, this project could potentially be built alongside a number of other developments. Policies DPSC3, DPSC4, DPSC5, DPSC6 and DPSC7 of the Mid Sussex District Plan 2021-2039 Submission Draft (Regulation 19) sought to allocate residential development across five separate sites at Sayers	No	No significant effects identified although each of the proposed developments will be assessed on their own merits. The cumulative effects, whether through construction (traffic, noise) or operational (visual impacts, noise) would be considered under each

Question	Likely or unlikely		Likely to have a significant effect or not.	
		Common. The Plan has not been made however and these policies do not constitute development plan policies. The Proposed Development subject to this Screening request is known as site DPSC6 (Land West of Kings Business Centre). There is a current planning application currently pending consideration with the Council for 27 residential units on DPSC4 (Chesapeake) under reference DM/25/1434. There is also a current outline planning application pending consideration for up to 210 dwellings on DPSC5 (Land At Coombe Farm). It is likely that other residential developments will come forward on land on the remaining sites known as DPSC3 and DPSC7 from the draft District Plan.		planning application with appropriate mitigation considered and secured where necessary.
13. TRANSBOUNDARY EFFECTS				
13.1 Is the project likely to lead to transboundary effects? ²	No	Unlikely.	No	No significant effects identified.

² The Regulations require consideration of the transboundary nature of the impact. Due to the England's geographical location the vast majority of TCPA cases are unlikely to result in transboundary impacts.

5. CONCLUSIONS – ACCORDING TO EIA REGULATIONS SCHEDULE 3

The Application Site is situated on the edge of the village of Sayers Common. It measures approximately 4.4 hectares in area and is broadly rectangular in shape. It comprises undeveloped greenfield, agricultural/pasture land. The settlement boundary of Sayers Common is to the east where there are newly built residential properties and the Kings Business Centre immediately to the east of the boundary. Reeds Lane is located to the south, whilst to the west and north lie further open fields and woodland respectively.

The Proposed Development is for a residential development of up to 80 residential dwellings, including access off Reeds Lane and associated works.

The Proposed Development is of a type described in Schedule 2, but would not exceed the applicable thresholds and criteria set out within that Schedule (Column 2).

The National Planning Practice Guidance on Environmental Impact Assessment, Annex: Indicative Screening Thresholds (Paragraph 057 Reference ID: 4-057-2070720 Revision Date: 20 07 2017) gives thresholds and criteria for the identification of Schedule 2 development.

For part 10 (b) development (Infrastructure Projects: urban development projects), the applicable thresholds and criteria for the purposes of the definition of Schedule 2 development are:

- (i) The development includes more than 1 hectare of urban development which is not dwellinghouse development; or*
- (ii) the development includes more than 150 dwellings; or*
- (iii) the overall area of the development exceeds 5 hectares*

In this case, the Proposed Development is for up to 80 dwellings, the site area measures 4.4 hectares and there is not more than 1 hectare of non-residential urban development.

As such, the applicable thresholds and criteria thresholds confirm that this Proposed Development would not constitute Schedule 2 development.

The Proposed Development is not considered to have significant environmental effects.

Having regard to the selection criteria for screening schedule 2 development (notwithstanding the Proposed Development does not constitute Schedule 2 development as it is below the applicable thresholds and criteria) set out in

Schedule 3 of the EIA Regulations, it is considered that given the scale and nature of the development, the Proposed Development would not have significant effects on the environment within the meaning of the EIA Regulations.

6. SCREENING DECISION

If a SO/SD has been provided do you agree with it?

N/A

Is it necessary to issue a SD?

No

Is an ES required?

No

7. ASSESSMENT (EIA REGS SCHEDULE 2 DEVELOPMENT)

OUTCOME

Is likely to have significant effects on the environment

ES required

Not likely to have significant effects on the environment

ES not required

R

More information is required to inform direction

Request further info

NAME Stuart Malcolm

DATE 11 November 2025