Archived: 12 August 2024 13:31:32

From: MacKenzie, John

Mail received time: Tue, 19 Mar 2024 10:40:51

Sent: 19 March 2024 10:40:52

To: stuart.malcolm@midsussex.gov.uk

Cc: planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk Naylor, Richard Williams, Neil Scullion, Thomas Subject: DM/24/0446 - Land off Scamps Hill - Contaminated Land Comments

Importance: Normal Sensitivity: None

Dear Stuart,

We write further to the initial consultation response, on the above application, from the Contaminated Land team (submitted 28 February 2024). This states the following:

I have reviewed the Phase 1 Geoenvironmental Assessment (desktop study) conducted by Lees Roxburgh Consulting Engineers, reference number: 6534/R1, dated February 2024.

The conceptual site model suggests that the site presents a low risk to receptors, considering all potential sources of contamination. This assessment is reinforced by section 5.2.2, which states, 'No specific sources of environmental risk have been identified.'

Nevertheless, the report proposes the necessity of a Phase 2 Geoenvironmental Assessment (Site Investigation). Given the assertion of low risk and the absence of identified environmental hazards, it would be beneficial to understand the rationale behind this recommendation for further intrusive investigation. Specifically, clarity is needed on the specific risks that will be assessed further.

Upon clarification of these points, I will be able to provide formal comments and recommend appropriate conditions.

As referred to in the consultation response, the Phase 1 assess ment (which is based on a desk study and site walkover concludes that no specific sources of environ mental risk have been identified and the overall risk of contamination is I. The implication of this is that there will not be any insurmountable ground contamination or geoen ironmental is su associated with the release of the site for housing development, as proposed.

Notwithstanding this conclusion, this does not obviate the need for a more detailed and intrusive Phase 2 assessment to be undertaken (post outline permission), prior to the detailed design of the scheme and construct i on The Phase 2 assess men is done procedurally on every site, to inform foundations/roads/drainage design and any measures required to intigate environmental risk, as part of a process of due diligence, prior to disposal of the site to a house builder. The recommendation for the Phase 2 assess ment should not given set o any concernt hat there is any specific environmental contamination risk. The need for a Phase 2 assess ment is routinely secured by planning condition on any outline plann permission, as I'm sure you will be aware.

On the basis of the above, we trust that the contaminated land of ficer can provide a formal consultation response recommend appropriate conditions. If there are any specific queries of a technical nature, we would be more than happy arrange for a Teams call with our consulting engineers to discuss these.

We look forward to seeing the formal consultation response in due course.

Kind regards

John

CD3.7

John MacKenzie

Planning Director

M: 07944 605 621 | <u>j.mackenzie@gladman.co.uk</u> www.gladman.co.uk



This email (and any attachment) is confidential, may be legally privileged and is intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. If you are not the intended recipient please do not disclose, copy or take any action in reliance on it. If you receive this message in error please tell us by reply (or telephone the sender) and delete all copies on your system.

Whilst we have taken reasonable precautions to ensure that any attachment to this email has been swept for viruses, we cannot accept liability for any damage sustained as a result of software viruses and would advise that you carry out your own virus checks before opening any attachment. Please note that communications sent by or to any person through our computer systems may be viewed by other Gladman personnel and agents.